

| MILTON KEYNES L C A | | FIELD SURVEY RECORD | | SHEET No. | Page 1 of 2 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Date : | | Surveyors' Name/s : | | National Typology Code: | |
| Location : | | Landscape Character Area : | | LDU Nos: | |
| Conditions : | | | | | |
| STRENGTH OF CHARACTER | | | | | |
| LANDFORM (S1) | | dominant prominent apparent (widespread/localised) insignificant | | | |
| Description: flat gently undulating strongly undulating steep broad valley narrow valley plain plateau upland sloping | | | Hydrology: river stream ponds lakes reservoir wetlands other | | |
| | | | Degree of slope: | | Altitude : |
| LANDCOVER (S2) | | dominant prominent apparent (widespread/localised) insignificant | | | |
| Description: open farmland treed farmland wooded farmland parkland woodland grassland / common open water or wetlands | | | Primary land use: commercial / industrial farmland: arable/pastoral/mixed forestry: broadleaf/conifer/mixed common or green: grassed/treed nursery / allotments / orchard recreation or amenity: type reservoir disturbed : type | | |
| Associated features: e.g. glasshouses/ marina. | | | Secondary land use (select from above) | | |
| Woodland cover: extensive interlocking linear discrete fragmented | | Species: | | Field boundaries (in order of prominence): hedgerow (with/without trees) tree rows hedgebank fence wall/wet ditch other (high/medium/low) | |
| | | | | Species: | |
| HISTORICAL PATTERN (S3) | | dominant prominent apparent (widespread/localised) insignificant | | | |
| Description: organic planned unenclosed | | | | | |
| Field pattern: geometric (ordered) regular (rectilinear) subregular (interlocking – curved boundaries) irregular (organic, winding lanes) discontinuous (no discernable pattern) | | | Transport pattern: motorway A road B road track / lane canal railway straight winding sinuous sunken | | |
| Field size: 1- small < 2ha 2- small/medium 3- medium/large 4- large > 8ha | | | Settlement: Form: village / hamlet / isolated house or farm/ other Building style: vernacular / non-vernacular Age: Tudor/Stuart/Georgian/ Victorian/Edwardian/20thC Materials: walls and roof | | |
| Verges: absent variable uniform wide / medium / narrow ditched | | | Country houses: Age: Tudor/Stuart/Georgian/Victorian/Edwardian 20thC Materials: | | |
| Other built features (function, age and materials): | | | | | |
| Other comments e.g. cultural features | | | | | |
| VISUAL AND SENSORY PERCEPTION | | | | | |
| Views of area from outside (S4): widely visible locally visible concealed | | | Sense of enclosure (S5): confined contained open exposed | | |
| Tranquility (S6): tranquil/distant/discordant Source: Level and constancy:: | | | Rarity (S7): unique rare unusual | | |

CONDITION

HISTORICAL INTEGRITY

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Extent and type of landcover change (C1): pasture to arable change in extent of woodland/tree cover on farmland loss of field boundaries parkland to farmland minerals other | widespread localised insignificant | Age structure of tree cover (C2): over mature mature/young mixed | Survival of cultural pattern (C5): intact and well managed intact but poorly managed interrupted (gen. intact but locally interrupted) declining (boundaries poorly managed) relic |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Notes:

ECOLOGICAL INTEGRITY

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Extent of habitat/corridor survival (C3): Widespread Linked Scattered relic | Management of habitats (C4): Good Not obvious Poor |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|

Notes:

VISUAL IMPACT

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Impact of built development (C6): Urban/Transport corridor/rural housing/ utilities/structures/other | high moderate low | Visual Unity (C7): Unified Coherent Incoherent | high moderate low |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|

Notes:

Boundary notes:

CHARACTER SUMMARY

| STRENGTH OF CHARACTER | WEAK | MODERATE | STRONG |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S1 Impact of landform* S2 Impact of landcover* S3 Historic pattern* S4 Visibility from outside S5 Sense of enclosure S6 Tranquillity S7 Distinctiveness/rarity | Insignificant Insignificant Insignificant Widely visible Open/exposed Discordant Frequent | Apparent Apparent Apparent Locally visible Partial Moderate Unusual | Dominant/Prominent Dominant/Prominent Dominant/Prominent Concealed Contained/confined Tranquil Unique/rare |

Totals * Prime condition categories if tie

| CONDITION | POOR | MODERATE | GOOD |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| C1 Landcover Change C2 Age Structure of Tree Cover* C3 Extent of semi-natural habitat survival* C4 Management of semi-natural habitats C5 Survival of cultural pattern (fields and hedges) C6 Impact of built development* C7 Visual unity * Prime condition categories if tie | Widespread Overmature Relic Poor Declining/Relic High Incoherent | Localised Mature or young Scattered Not obvious Interrupted Moderate Coherent | Insignificant Mixed Widespread/Linked Good Intact Low Unified |

Totals * Prime robustness categories if tie

| | | | | |
|---------------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| MATRIX | Good | Strengthen and reinforce | Conserve and strengthen | Safeguard and manage |
| | Moderate | Improve and reinforce | Improve and conserve | Conserve and restore |
| | Poor | Reconstruct | Improve and restore | Restore condition to maintain character |
| | | Weak | Moderate | Strong |
| | | Strength of Character | | |

SENSITIVITY CHECK

| Ecology | | | | Cultural | | | | Visual | | | | Tranquillity | | | |
|------------|--|-------------|--|------------|--|-------------|--|------------|--|-------------|--|--------------|--|-------------|--|
| Desk Study | | Field Study | | Desk Study | | Field Study | | Desk Study | | Field Study | | Desk Study | | Field Study | |