Notes of Action Points arising from Stage Two Plan:MK Examination hearing discussions.

31 August 2018

Status:

These notes do not pre-empt any further work, actions or modifications that may stem from any separately published Inspector notes on more substantive matters.

The Inspector is not inviting comment or representations on these action points. They are for information only. Proposed main modifications and associated updated evidence will be subject to consultation in due course.

| Matter 8 – Developme | ent Management Policies | | |
|----------------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| Action Point Ref | What | Category | Timeframe |
| AP37 | MKC to provide the Public | Evidence | By Friday 14 |
| | Health Report on Hot Food | | September |
| | Takeaways | | |
| АР38 | Policy HN2 – amend criterion A so that it is clear that the requirement is 31%. The policy should then express that proposals that provide more than 31% will be supported. The final part of criterion A should Part A could helpfully cross-refer to SPD on the operation of policy where 31% does not equate to a whole dwelling and may require in part a | Main Modification | Updated MM schedule |
| | financial contribution. Policy HN2 – Part C. MKC to provide justification for the 31% of gross household income figure. | Evidence. | By Friday 14 September |
| | A cross-reference in Part C to the Affordable Housing SPD providing further guidance on implementation of affordable housing within Build to Rent schemes. Additional supporting text | Part of a single main modification to HN2 | Updated MM Schedule |
| AP39 | Policy HN5 – MKC to prepare modifications to policy following analysis of Register and current plot provision. | Main Modification | Updated MM schedule |

| | | 1 | |
|------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | Modifications to include (i) | | |
| | clarification on either a site | | |
| | size threshold for on-site | | |
| | provision or provision on | | |
| | specific sites; and (ii) | | |
| | clarification that if not | | |
| | developed after a set period | | |
| | of fair and transparent | | |
| | availability, the plots would | | |
| | return to the market for | | |
| | housebuilding. | | |
| AP40 | Policy HN3 – MKC to | Potential Main | Updated MM schedule |
| | undertake further | Modification | |
| | consideration on a target for | | |
| | C2 bedspace provision vis-à- | | |
| | vis the SHMA content on | | |
| | accommodation for older | | |
| | persons and the overall | | |
| | housing requirement | | |
| AP41 | Policy HN4 - MKC to provide | Evidence | By Friday 14 |
| | additional evidence to | | September |
| | support the 60% threshold in | | |
| | Part B, criterion (i) for the | | |
| | M4(2) requirement | | |
| AP42 | Proposed Additional Policy on | Main | Updated MM Schedule |
| | innovative construction / | Modification | |
| | design – MKC to consider the | | |
| | justification for the threshold | | |
| | (and whether there should be | | |
| | exemptions for certain types | | |
| | of developments (for | | |
| | example apartments)). | | |
| | Additional text on what | | |
| | would constitute, in broad | | |
| | terms, "innovative design | | |
| | features" and "modern | | |
| | methods of construction" to | | |
| | raise design standards. | | |
| AP43 | Policy SC1. Part C: Water, | Main | Updated MM Schedule |
| | criterion 2. Given the | Modification | |
| | viability study has not | | |
| | ascribed a particular cost, and | | |
| | notwithstanding the text | | |
| | "wherever feasible" (which | | |
| | has practical connotations) | | |
| | this part of the policy should | | |
| | also be caveated "subject to | | |
| | viability" | | |
| AP44 | Policy EH8 MKC to provide | Evidence | By Friday 14 |
| | recent 2016/17 figures for Yr | | September |
| | R and Yr 6 overweight / obese | | |
| | | | |

| | | | 1 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | data for MK and for | | |
| | comparison equivalent data | | |
| | for Bucks, South East? and | | |
| | national | - | |
| AP45 | Policy EH8 MKC to provide a | Evidence. | By Friday 21 |
| | map on an OS base of the | | September |
| | Borough showing 400m | | |
| | buffers from primary and | | |
| | secondary schools either as | | |
| | (a) a simple radius from the | | |
| | school or (b) if software exists | | |
| | as 400m accessible walking | | |
| | distances. Map to also | | |
| | contain CMK boundary, town, | | |
| | district and local centres. | | |
| AP46 | Policy HE1 - MKC to check | Additional mod | Updated AM schedule |
| | flow of the wording in | | |
| | Criterion D (missing word(s))? | | |
| AP47 | Policy HN11 – clarification as | Additional mod | Updated AM schedule |
| | to whether criterion vii | | |
| | relates to visibility of pitches | | |
| | or overlooking/exposure of | | |
| | the occupants of pitches. | | |
| AP48 | Policy HN12 – delete criterion | Additional mod | Updated AM schedule |
| | vi relating to Areas of | | |
| | Attractive Landscape | | |
| Matter 3 Settlement Bou | | | 1 |
| AP49 | Little Brickhill – MKC to | Evidence | By Friday 14 |
| | provide brief note on | | September |
| | justification for not amending | | |
| | settlement boundary at Fox | | |
| | Farm Road with reference to | | |
| | the representation from Mr | | |
| | D.Emery. | | |
| AP50 | Ravenstone – MKC to check | | |
| / 1 50 | proposed amendments to the | | |
| | settlement boundary in the | | |
| | light of representations PSP | | |
| | MK31 & 715 | | |
| AP51 | Woburn Sands – in light of | | |
| MLDT | the proposed amendments to | | |
| | SEMK allocation and the | | |
| | amendment at WS1 there | | |
| | | | |
| | would be an area(s) | | |
| | effectively enveloped by | | |
| | existing and future | | |
| | development (the second | | |
| | Frost's site). | | |
| | The submitted Plan would | | |
| | not be unsound were the | | |
| | settlement boundary at this | | |

| | location not amended as submitted. As such it would be solely a matter for the Council to consider whether it wishes to consolidate the settlement boundary at this location as part of this current round of comprehensive evaluation of settlement boundaries or leave these areas for future plan review or Neighbourhood Plan review. | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Matter 9 Monitoring AP52 | Final check/review of monitoring framework. Remove references to Core Strategy objectives. Include indicators for Policies HN5, EH8 and proposed additional policy on innovative construction / design. Update where necessary in light of examination. | Additional Modification | Updated AM Schedule |