Milton Keynes Council

Plan:MK Submission

Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications (Last updated 27 September 2018)



Ref	Pg No	Policy	Para/Table/ Figure/Bullet	Proposed modification Deletions Additions	Reason for proposed modification
MM1	12	MK1		Delete policy.	The policy essentially repeats NPPF, para 14 and its inclusion in the plan is neither necessary nor justified.
MM2	16	DS1		Insert new paragraph immediately above Table 4.2 as follows: <u>The provision of new homes and jobs will take account of the settlement hierarchy set out in table</u> <u>4.2. The majority of development will be focused on and adjacent to, the existing urban area of</u> <u>Milton Keynes at the locations specified in table 4.2 and from selective infill, brownfield,</u> <u>regeneration and redevelopment opportunities. Within the rural area of the Borough most new</u> <u>development will be concentrated within the key settlements of Newport Pagnell, Olney and Woburn</u> <u>Sands. Elsewhere within the rural area new development will occur within villages and other rural</u> <u>settlements at locations identified in made neighbourhood plans.</u>	To make Policy DS1 effective in accordance with paragraph 182 of the NPPF
MM3	26	DS3		 Insert 'South Caldecotte and Milton Keynes East ' into criterion 3 of policy DS3. 3. The allocation of new employment land at appropriate locations South Caldecotte and Milton Keynes East to provide a flexible supply of sites to cater for future employment needs. 	Policy DS3 amended to make reference to the strategic employment allocations to ensure consistency with the approach in Policy DS2
MM4	39		Para 5.13	Insert new sentence at the end of paragraph 5.13 <u>The flanks of Campbell Park will be developed for largely residential (C3) uses with other mixed uses appropriate to a residential area; these could include A1 (shop uses), A2 (Financial and Professional Services uses), A3 (Restaurants and Cafes), A4 (Drinking Establishments), A5 (Hot Food Takeaways) B1 (Business uses), C1 (Hotels) and D1 uses including uses such as a health centre and a day nursery and D2 uses including such uses as gyms and areas for indoor or outdoor sports and recreation.</u>	Additional text in support of policy SD3 to provide further detail on the type and mix of uses the Council would consider appropriate as part of a primarily residential –led development scheme at Campbell Park.

MM5	50	SD13	"A. Land is allocated at South East Milton Keynes – as shown on the Key Diagram and Policies Map – for a
			comprehensive residential-led mixed use development of approximately 3,000 dwellings to meet the needs
			of Milton Keynes up to 2031 and beyond. If the chosen corridor for the Oxford Cambridge Expressway
			(OCE) maintains the possibility that the OCE could be routed through the site, then planning
			permission for housing and associated uses will not be permitted until 2019/20, once the detailed alignment
			of the Cambridge-Milton Keynes-Oxford Expressway OCE is known.
			B. In addition to the requirements set out in other policies within this plan, including policies INF1,
			SD1, SD11 and SD12, development of the site will be required to:
			1. Provide schools to accommodate seven forms of entry for secondary education and 6 forms
			of entry for primary education, as well as necessary nursery and early years provision.
			Schools should be capable of dual use as community facilities.
			 Ensure Delevelopment will be is well connected and integrated with the established MK grid
			squares to its north and west adjacent grid squares, public transport services and the
			strategic and local highway grid network in line with the Council's Mobility Strategy. Provision
			of grade separated crossings of the railway should be provided or retained as appropriate to
			ensure connectivity of the southern areas of the site with the remainder of the site and the city
			to the north in line with policies CT1-CT3 and CT5. The number, location and purpose of any
			such crossings will be set out within the Development Framework.
			3. The urban extension will Incorporate buffer areas, structural landscaping and strategic green
			infrastructure within the site to prevent coalescence with Woburn Sands and Bow Brickhill,
			respect and reinforce the distinct character of the surrounding settlements of Wavendon, Woburn
			Sands and Bow Brickhill through providing new or reinforced green buffers, thereby protecting
			existing settlement character, ensure ecological connectivity, and mitigate any harm caused
			to the Brickhills area and wider landscape character.
			4. Be informed by an archaeological field study, including a Geophysical Survey, to identify
			potential below ground archaeology. Where feasible, the Council will expect below ground
			archaeology to kept in situ in preference to its removal.
			5. A site to accommodate 7 pitches for Gypsies and Travellers shall be provided as part of this
			development.
			C. The development will be brought forward in line with all relevant policies in Plan:MK, particularly
			Policy SD1, SD12, NE1-6 and INF1. A comprehensive development framework for the site will be
			prepared in accordance with policies SD1, SD11, SD12 and INF1 and approved by the Council prior to
			planning applications being submitted permissions being granted.
L	I		

MM6	50		Map 1	Adjust boundaries for 'Strategic Urban Extension: South East' and reproduce Map 1 to reflect additional land	To reflect PM1, PM2 and PM63 within the Schedule of Proposed Policies Maps Modifications
MM7	80	ER11		 Proposals for main town centre uses outside of defined Town Centre Areas, including significant edge of centre /out of centre retail development, will be subject to sequential testing as required by national planning policy and will only be permitted where: 1. The proposal would not have a significant adverse impact on the vitality and viability of the town centre and on existing, committed and planned public and private investment within the centre or centres in the catchment area of the proposal and; 2. Applications for main town centre uses retail and/or leisure uses outside of the city centre CMK primary shopping area which exceed 2,500 900 sq.m (gross) floorspace will be required to undertake and provide an impact assessment in accordance with national planning policy. An impact assessment will also be required to assess the impact of proposals for retail main town centre uses over 350 sq.m (gross) outside town, district and local centres within the Borough and; 	To improve consistency with NPPF and to reflect latest evidence from the Retail Capacity and Leisure Study.
MM8	86	ER19	Criterion 2	2. There is not an existing over-concentration of such uses within the town centre boundary and <u>with the</u> <u>exception of Kingston</u> they do not create a continuous frontage of 3 or more units in non-retail use within the primary shopping frontage. <u>At Kingston the number of units in non-retail uses should not create a</u> <u>continuous frontage of 5 or more units within the primary shopping frontage.</u>	To allow for a greater amount of non-retail uses within Kingston

MM9	86 Table 6.3		Table 6.3	KINGSTON	To allow for a greater amount of non-retail uses within Kingston
				 Primary Frontages Change of use involving the loss of A1 retail will not normally acceptable Change of use from one non-retail to another non-retail use may be acceptable Change of use from non-retail use to A1 retail use will be encourage 	be ie
MM10	97	HN2	A.i	25% of units for rent at a range of rental levels up to 80% of market rents (but at no more than Housing Allowance rates), under the Affordable Rent model, including approximately 5% of the affordable provision at a level broadly equivalent to Social Rent (at the time an application is contacted by the second	ne total evidence within the
MM11	153	NE2		 A. Whenre there is a reasonable likelihood of the presence of statutorily protected species or the or where the site contains priority species or habitats identified in the Buckinghamshire Keynes Biodiversity Action Plan, development will not be permitted until it has been demonst the proposed development will not result in a negative impact upon those species and habitats. B. Where the site contains priority species or habitats, development should wherever point and the species or habitats. 	and Miltonthe effectiveness of the policy, and ensure consistency with para 117 of the NPPF.ssible
MM12	153	NE3		 promote their preservation, restoration, expansion and/or re-creation in line with Policy N A. Development proposals will be required to maintain and protect biodiversity and geological read wherever possible enhance-result in measurable net gain in biodiversity, enhance the state function of ecological networks and the ecological status of water bodies in accordance with the principles set out by the Buckinghamshire and Milton Keynes NEP. B. Development proposals must demonstrate that the mitigation hierarchy has been folloging firstly avoid, reduce and mitigate direct and indirect adverse impacts before considering compensation. If significant harm to biodiversity resulting from a development cannot be adequately mitigated or, as a last resort, compensated for then planning permission shores. 	esources, To improve ructure and effectiveness of the policy.
				 C. Where compensation is required, appropriate enhancements will be sought on 'biodiversits' by provision of replacement habitat of higher quality to achieve a net gain in biodiversity Impact Assessment metric should be used to inform what compensation will required. Development proposals of 5 or more dwellings or non-residential floorspace in 1,000 sq m will be required to use the Defra metric or locally approved Biodiversity Impact Assessment Metric to demonstrate any loss or gain of biodiversity. D. Mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures must be secured and be maintaged. Infertion of the development. Enhancement and compensatory measures should seek opportune habitat protection, restoration and creation to meet the objectives of the UK and Bucks & Milton Biodiversity Action Plan and aims of the Biodiversity Opportunity Areas. These measures should and enhance habitats to help wildlife adapt to the impact of climate change. 	ersity. A L be excess of ct tined for the nities for Keynes

MM13	161- 162		New paragraphs 12.41 and 12.42	12.41 The Agricultural Land Classification system (ALC) provides a method for assessing the quality of farmland to enable informed choices to be made about its future use within the planning system and the presence of best and most versatile agricultural land should be taken into account alongside other sustainability considerations when determining planning applications. Best and Most Versatile Land is land defined as grades 1, 2, and 3a of the Agricultural Land Classification. 12.42 Para.112 of the NPPF requires that planning authorities should take into account the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land. Where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, local planning authorities should seek to use areas of poorer quality land in preference to that of a higher quality.	To be consistent with para 112 of the NPPF and provide explanatory text to new Policy NE7
MM14	162	New Policy NE7		Policy NE7: PROTECTION OF THE BEST AND MOST VERSATILE AGRICULTURAL LAND In assessing proposals for the development of greenfield sites, the Council will take into account the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land. Development involving the loss of agricultural land should seek to use areas of poorer quality land (grades 3b, 4 and 5 of the Agricultural Land Classification) in preference to that of a higher quality unless other sustainability considerations suggest otherwise.	To be consistent with para 112 of the NPPF
MM15	195	CC1		Policy CC1_PERCENT FOR_PUBLIC ART Additional Paragraph: A minimum of 0.5% of the gross development cost_of proposals for 11 or more dwellings or non- residential development of 1,000sqm or more should, subject to viability, be allocated towards cultural wellbeing. This includes public art that enhances the cultural offer and appearance of the development, its surrounding and Milton Keynes as a whole, and engaging local residents throughout.	Correct a drafting error between Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 documents, and to improve the effectiveness of the policy
MM16	207- 212	Table 18.2	Appendix A	Insert new site: Campbell park – Land to the North of Glebe Roundabout, Overgate – 60 dwellings	To ensure consistency with the CMK neighbourhood plan and other allocations within Campbell park. The site should have been incorporated within the draft version of the Plan as it forms part of the previous outline application for residential development across Campbell Park.
MM17	258		Insert new Appendix I	Insert new appendix entitled: "Appendix I Housing Trajectory and Delivery Projections" The appendix to be inserted is provided separately in two documents: 'Schedule of Proposed Modifications – Appendix I.1 Housing Trajectory Graph' and 'Schedule of Proposed Modifications – Appendix I.2 Housing Trajectory Table'	To provide suitable information to support implementation of the plan.

Post Submission Modifications

M18	18	Policy DS2	Plan:MK will deliver a minimum of 26,500 net dwellings across the Borough of Milton	To add clarity and
			Keynes over the period 2016-2031. New housing development will be focused on, and adjacent to, the	provide consistency wi
			existing urban area of Milton Keynes, as well as the three key settlements, and will be delivered by:	Policies DS1 and SD1:
			 <u>1.</u> The completion of existing city estates, expansion areas and strategic land allocations 	
			 <u>2.</u> The continued development of Central Milton Keynes including the Campbell Park residential area-development areas 	
			 3. The completion of existing commitments as outlined in Appendix A 	
			 <u>4.</u> "South East Growth Area South East Milton Keynes Strategic Urban Extension: The 	
			development of a new <u>comprehensive</u> residential-led strategic allocation on the edge of the existing	
			urban area, extending the development boundary of the urban area to potentially incorporate 3,000	
			dwellings. This development will only come forward once progress has been made on the	
			delivery of East-West Rail and, furthermore, will only be brought forward once the route of the	
			proposed Oxford to Cambridge Expressway is agreed and its land requirements confirmedbe delivered as set out in Policy SD13	
			 <u>5.</u> Land at Eaton Leys: residential development of up to 600 homes, with associated facilities 	
			 6. The delivery of sites already identified in made Neighbourhood Plans, both within the urban and 	
			rural area of the Borough	
			 <u>7.</u> The development of small to medium sized, non-strategic sites, within the urban area of Milton 	
			Keynes, as allocated through Plan:MK, as outlined below. in Appendix A - Housing Sites within this	
			plan	
			 Small to medium scale development within rural and key settlements, appropriate to the size, 	
			function and role of each settlement to be delivered through allocations in neighbourhood plans	
			currently being prepared.	
			 <u>9.</u> The regeneration of some existing city housing estates as brought forward by the Your:MK 	
			regeneration programme, subject to local referendums	
			 <u>10.</u> Regeneration opportunities around the centres of Wolverton and Bletchley 	
			 <u>11.</u> The redevelopment of brownfield sites, vacant or underused sites within the Milton Keynes urban 	
			area will be encouraged where such development is in line with other relevant policies in this plan,	
			including design, density, access and connectivity	
			 <u>12.</u> Land East of the M1: a mixed residential and employment strategic site to the east of the M1, 	
			south of Newport Pagnell, is designated as a reserve site to come forward post 2031 allocated as	
			a strategic urban extension to meet the long term needs of Milton Keynes. Development of this	
			site may be permitted can commence prior to once 2031 should the Council be successful in	
			obtaining Government funding to enable delivery of necessary infrastructure, the necessary	
			strategic infrastructure required to make the site deliverable, including required connections to	
			the existing urban area of Milton Keynes, is funded and is being delivered prior to any development taking place.	
			 <u>13.</u> Permitting development proposals within the defined settlement boundaries where they comply 	
			with all other relevant policies of Plan:MK and neighbourhood plans.	
				To provide clarity on
			As per Criterion 7 above, the following small to medium, non-strategic sites, as outlined on the	those sites which Plan:MK allocates.
			Policies Maps, are allocated for residential development:	rian.ivir allocates.

Site Reference	Area	Site	No. of Dwellings
HS1	BLETCHLEY	FORMER MFI BUILDING	28
HS2	BLETCHLEY	BERWICK DRIVE	16
HS3	BLETCHLEY	CHEPSTOW DRIVE	11
HS4	CALDECOTTE	CALDECOTTE SITE C	67
HS5	CAMPBELL PARK	CAMPBELL PARK NORTHSIDE	1,500
HS6	CAMPBELL PARK	F4.4	51
HS7	CAMPBELL PARK	G4.1	141
HS8	CAMPBELL PARK	G4.2	202
HS9	CAMPBELL PARK	G4.3	166
HS10	CAMPBELL PARK	LAND NORTH OF GLEBE ROUNDABOUT, OVERGATE	60
HS11	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES	C3.2	135
HS12	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES	C3.3	113
HS13	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES	WYEVALE GARDEN CENTRE	280
HS14	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES	R/O CENTRAL LIBRARY	98
HS15	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES	R/O SAXON COURT	85
HS16	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES	R/O WESTMINSTER HOUSE	63
HS17	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES	C4.2	93
HS18	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES	D3.4	250
HS19	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES	FOOD CENTRE	298
HS20	FISHERMEAD	KELLAN DRIVE 1	10
HS21	FULLERS SLADE	CAVENDISH SITE	37
HS22	GREENLEYS	FORMER MILTON KEYNES RUGBY CLUB	125
HS23	LAKES ESTATE	SOUTHERN WINDEMERE DRIVE	11
HS24	MEDBOURNE	S OF VERNIER CRESCENT	18
HS25	MONKSTON	LINDISFARNE DRIVE	20
HS26	MONKSTON	WADHURST DRIVE	17
HS27	OLD FARM PARK	BYRD CRESCENT	25
HS28	REDHOUSE PARK	THE WALNUTS	125
HS29	SPRINGFIELD	SPRINGFIELD BOULEVARD 1	15
HS30	STANTONBURY	REDBRIDGE	19
HS31	STANTONBURY	ROWLE CLOSE	18
HS32	STONY STRATFORD	HIGH STREET (FORMER GAS WORKS SITE)	24
HS33	TATTENHOE	HOWE ROCK PLACE	17
HS34	TATTENHOE	WINFOLD LANE	24
HS35	TATTENHOE	HOLBORN CRESCENT	12
HS36	WALNUT TREE	HOCKCLIFFE BRAE	35
HS37	WALNUT TREE	LICHFIELD DOWN	19
HS38	WESTCROFT	POWIS LANE	24
HS39	WOLVERTON	HIGH PARK DRIVE	68

 Policies Map. Planning permission within the open countryside will only be granted for dessential for agriculture, forestry, countryside recreation <u>highway infrastructure</u> or other which is wholly appropriate to a rural area and cannot be located within a settlement, or policies within this plan indicate development would be appropriate. ii.B. Limited extensions or ancillary structures to existing buildings situated in the open of acceptable in principle, provided that their scale and visual impact do not have a detrime open character of the countryside. iii.C. Replacement dwellings might be acceptable provided that the impact on the chara countryside is equal to or less than the dwelling it replaces. Replacement dwellings should following criteria: 1. Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the dwa attractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. 	MM19	32	DS5	i.A The Council defines Open Countryside as all land outside the development boundaries
 essential for agriculture, forestry, countryside recreation highway infrastructure or other which is wholly appropriate to a rural area and cannot be located within a settlement, or policies within this plan indicate development would be appropriate. ii.B. Limited extensions or ancillary structures to existing buildings situated in the open of acceptable in principle, provided that their scale and visual impact do not have a detrime open character of the countryside. iii.C. Replacement dwellings might be acceptable provided that the impact on the chara countryside is equal to or less than the dwelling it replaces. Replacement dwellings should following criteria: 1. Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the dwattractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. 		52	000	
 which is wholly appropriate to a rural area and cannot be located within a settlement, or policies within this plan indicate development would be appropriate. ii.B. Limited extensions or ancillary structures to existing buildings situated in the open of acceptable in principle, provided that their scale and visual impact do not have a detrime open character of the countryside. iii.c. Replacement dwellings might be acceptable provided that the impact on the chara countryside is equal to or less than the dwelling it replaces. Replacement dwellings should following criteria: 1. Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the dwelling attractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. 				
 policies within this plan indicate development would be appropriate. ii.B. Limited extensions or ancillary structures to existing buildings situated in the open of acceptable in principle, provided that their scale and visual impact do not have a detrime open character of the countryside. iii.C. Replacement dwellings might be acceptable provided that the impact on the chara countryside is equal to or less than the dwelling it replaces. Replacement dwellings should following criteria: 1. Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the dwattractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the 				
 ii.B. Limited extensions or ancillary structures to existing buildings situated in the open of acceptable in principle, provided that their scale and visual impact do not have a detrime open character of the countryside. iii.C. Replacement dwellings might be acceptable provided that the impact on the charact countryside is equal to or less than the dwelling it replaces. Replacement dwellings should following criteria: 1. Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the dwattractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the substance of the substant of the substant				
 acceptable in principle, provided that their scale and visual impact do not have a detrime open character of the countryside. iii.C. Replacement dwellings might be acceptable provided that the impact on the characcountryside is equal to or less than the dwelling it replaces. Replacement dwellings shou following criteria: 1. Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the dwattractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. 				policies within this plan indicate development would be appropriate.
 acceptable in principle, provided that their scale and visual impact do not have a detrime open character of the countryside. iii.C. Replacement dwellings might be acceptable provided that the impact on the characcountryside is equal to or less than the dwelling it replaces. Replacement dwellings shou following criteria: 1. Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the dwattractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. 				ii.B. Limited extensions or ancillary structures to existing buildings situated in the open cou
 iii.C. Replacement dwellings might be acceptable provided that the impact on the charac countryside is equal to or less than the dwelling it replaces. Replacement dwellings show following criteria: 1. Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the dwattractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the 				acceptable in principle, provided that their scale and visual impact do not have a detriment
 countryside is equal to or less than the dwelling it replaces. Replacement dwellings should following criteria: <u>1.</u> Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the dwelling attractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. <u>2.</u> To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the succountryside. <u>3.</u> To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. <u>D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the succeptional quality or innovative in the succeptional quality or innovative in the sucception quality or innovative in the sucception quality or innovativ</u>				open character of the countryside.
 countryside is equal to or less than the dwelling it replaces. Replacement dwellings should following criteria: <u>1.</u> Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the dwelling attractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. <u>2.</u> To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the succountryside. <u>3.</u> To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. <u>D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the succeptional quality or innovative in the succeptional quality or innovative in the sucception quality or innovative in the sucception quality or innovativ</u>				
following criteria: • 1. Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the datattractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. • 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. • 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the				
 1. Be compact and well-designed, in turn, retaining sufficient space around the data attractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the second seco				
 attractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside. 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the second secon				
 2. To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the su countryside. 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the second statement of the second statement				
countryside. • 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the existing dwelling it intends to replace.				attractive setting and to protect the character of the countryside.
countryside. • 3. To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the existing dwelling it intends to replace.				• 2 To not create a visual intrusion on the skyline or in the open character of the surr
<u>3.</u> To be within similar scale of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. <u>D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of the existing dwelling it intends to replace. </u>				
D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of th				
				D. New dwellings which are of exceptional quality or innovative in the nature of their
				accepted where they conform with Paragraph 55 of the NPPF.

es defined on the evelopment which is r development, where other	To clarify that highway infrastructure is appropriate in the open countryside.
ountryside are ntal impact on the	To ensure consistency with the Framework
ter of the open Id meet the	
elling to provide an	
rrounding	
ir design might be	

MM20 26-27 DS3	capitalise on:	o improve consistency ith paragraphs 17 and 1 of the Framework
	 <u>1.</u> The Borough's location half way between London and Birmingham as part of the single, knowledge- intensive cluster being developed in the Cambridge – Milton Keynes - Oxford corridor. 	
	 <u>2.</u> Good and improving communications including superfast Broadband provision. 	
	B. The strategy for supporting the economic needs of the Borough will be delivered by:	
		its response to the spector's preliminary
	le	tter (INS1a) MKC
	C. In addition the Council will:	greed policy would be hore effective if
		odified to refer to outh Caldecotte and
	opportunities generated by employers	IKE.
	 B) 2. Attract new businesses, encourage business start-ups and assist businesses to grow. C) 3. Support the land-use needs of further and higher education. 	
	1 4 Promote the provision of extended and enhanced further and higher education to provide lifelong learning and skills at all levels and support the allocation of land for a new central campus.	
	E) 5. Develop Central Milton Keynes (CMK) to become the primary focus for knowledge–based businesses	
	within the Borough. To intensify and densify development in the city centre, the Council will seek to increase the amount of high quality office floorspace and the number of businesses.	
	F) 6. Encourage the redevelopment of existing office developments which are no longer 'fit for purpose' and	
	their replacement by office developments which provide a greater amount of floorspace than the buildings they replace.	
	G) 7. Develop the area between the West Coast main railway line and V7 Saxon Street, H5 Portway and H6	
	Childs Way as a Central Business District (CBD) with major mixed use office led development with active ground floor uses focused around Milton Keynes Central Railway station.	
	H) 8. Facilitate the development of CMK as a business centre and encourage alternative means of transport	
	other than the car, to promote walking, cycling and public transport systems, which will be developed and prioritised to ensure safe and convenient travel to and from the city centre.	
	9. Support good public transport to enable access to other main employment areas.	
	10. Encourage the growth and expansion of existing employment uses where it does not conflict with other policies in the plan"	
	······································	dditional wording to
	ta	olicy is so it contains a arget for the provision
		f employment land ithin the Borough.
	<u>0.1.</u>	ann aic Boioagii.

MM21				w will be included to provide guidance on which strategic policies should be follow highbourhood plan – included as an additional appendix (I).	Inspector's request and to guide the preparation
			Policy reference in Plan:	VK Policy name in Plan: MK	of neighbourhood plans.
			MK1	PRESUMPTION IN FAVOUR OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	
			DS2	HOUSING STRATEGY	
			DS3	EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY	
			DS4 DS5	RETAIL AND LEISURE DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY OPEN COUNTRYSIDE	
			555 5D1	PLACE-MAKING PRINCIPLES FOR DEVELOPMENT	
			SD2	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES - ROLE AND FUNCTION	
			SD3 SD4	CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES – GROWTH AND AREAS OF CHANGE CENTRAL MILTON KEYNES – CONNECTIVITY	
			504 SD6	EASTERN EXPANSION AREA (FORMERLY POLICY EA3 IN THE MILTON KEYNES LOCAL PLAN)	
			SD7	WESTERN EXPANSION AREA (FORMERLY POLICY EAG IN THE MILTON KEYNES LOCAL PLAN)	
			SD8	STRATEGIC LAND ALLOCATION	
			SD9 SD11	NEWTON LEYS GENERAL PRINCIPLES FOR STRATEGIC URBAN EXTENSIONS	
			5011 5012	DELIVERY OF STRATEGIC URBAN EXTENSIONS	
			SD13	SOUTH EAST MILTON KEYNES STRATEGIC URBAN EXTENSION	
			SD14 SD15	MILTON KEYNES EAST LAND AT EATON LEYS, LITTLE BRICKHILL	
			SD15 SD16	STRATEGIC EMPLOYMENT ALLOCATION, LAND SOUTH OF MILTON KEYNES, SOUTH CALDECOTTE	
			SD17	PLACE-MAKING PRINCIPLES FOR SUSTAINABLE URBAIN EXTENSIONS IN ADJACENT LOCAL AUTHORITIES	
			SD18	CAMPBELL PARK	
			ER1 ER2	EMPLOYMENT SITES WITHIN THE BOROUGH OF MILTON KEYNES PROTECTION OF EXISTING EMPLOYMENT LAND AND PREMISES	
			ER3	RETAILING ON EMPLOYMENT LAND AND PREMISES	
			ER4	HOME BASED BUSINESS	
			ER5	PROTECTION OF SMALL BUSINESS UNITS	
			ER6 ER9	SITES FOR BAD NEIGHBOUR USES EMPLOYMENT USES AND THE RURAL ECONOMY	
			ER10	CHARACTER AND FUNCTION OF THE SHOPPING HIERARCHY	
			ER12	PROTECTION OF LOCAL SHOPS, POST OFFICES, BANKS AND PUBLIC HOUSES	
			ER13	NEW SHOPS IN THE RURAL AREA	
			ER14 ER15	NON-RETAIL USES IN LOCAL CENTRES NEW LOCAL CENTRES	
			ER19	NON-RETAIL USES ON GROUND FLOORS IN TOWN CENTRES	
			HN1	HOUSING MIX AND DENSITY	
			HN2	AFFORDABLE HOUSING	
			HN4 HN7	AMENITY, ACCESSIBILITY AND ADAPTABILITY OF HOMES HOUSES IN MULTIPLE OCCUPATION	
			HN8	STUDENT ACCOMMODATION	
			HN10	RURAL EXCEPTION SITES	
			CT2 FR1	MOVEMENT AND ACCESS MANAGING FLOOD RISK	
			FR2	SUSTAINABLE DRAINAGE SYSTEMS (SUDS) AND INTEGRATED FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT	
			INF1	DELIVERING INFRASTRUCTURE	
			NE4	GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	
			NE5 D1	CONSERVING AND ENHANCING LANDSCAPE CHARACTER DESIGNING A HIGH QUALITY PLACE	
			D2	CREATING A POSITIVE CHARACTER	
			D3	DESIGN OF BUILDINGS	
			D5	CANALSIDE DEVELOPMENT	
			5C1	SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION	
MM22	176	L4	Amend fourth	paragraph:	To add clarity and improve effectiveness
				hat include new areas of open space, green infrastructure and parks should inc	clude a
			long-term cos	sted maintenance plan management and maintenance strategy outlining deta	ails of
			future owners	ship and the responsible maintenance body (e.g. Parks Trust), and a long ter	m
				stainable maintenance plan that can be implemented by local contractors or	
			organisations		

MM23	SD14	Land is allocated at Milton Keynes East – as shown on the Key Diagram and Policies Map
		comprehensive new residential and employment development to meet the long-term needs
		Keynes. Development will not can commence until after 2031 once unless the Council
		Government for the necessary strategic infrastructure required to make the site deliver
		fundeding is successful and is being delivered. In that circumstance, the development
		allowed to proceed within the plan period as an additional source of housing and employm
		Development will be brought forward in line with all relevant policies in Plan:MK, particularl
		SD11, SD12 and INF1. A comprehensive development framework for the site will be p
		accordance with Policies SD1, SD11, SD12 and INF1 and approved by the Council pr
		permissions being granted.
		Amongst others, the d The development framework and subsequent applications for
		permission will establish the quantum and form of development in more detail, but p
		development will comprise be expected to meet the following criteria:
		 Delivery of <u>around 5,000</u> new homes, providing a range of sizes, types and tenures
		affordable housing, in accordance with other policies in the Plan.
		Approximately 105 hectares of land for a mix of employment uses, complement
		and function of CMK Land for employment uses B1c/B2/B8
		 Associated infrastructure including primary and secondary education, community far restail and least convision and a batch. The development about deservices at least one
		retail and local services and a hotel. The development should comprise at least one
		local centre(s), of a scale commensurate to the needs of the new community a not adversely affect the viability and vitality of Newport Pagnell district centre
		location of key facilities.
		 The phased introduction of a comprehensive network of transport infrastructure in lin
		Investment Plan, to include highway connections to Newport Pagnell and Central M
		(CMK), including new and/or enhanced vehicular crossings of the M1, involving on and off-site.
		 A corridor of land safeguarded for a fast mass-transit system, and associated infra
		connecting CMK and Cranfield University. The width of the corridor should be su
		a range of possible systems to come forward whilst also ensuring the efficien
		achieving the scale of development proposed within this policy.
		 A network of segregated and grade-separated new and enhanced footpaths, and
		bridleways (including redways) to connect to existing routes beyond the site, including redways)
		provision of appropriate pedestrian and cyclist crossing of the A422 and suit
		attractive crossings of the M1 as appropriate.
		 A strategic landscape framework and green infrastructure network of green space
		strategic and local requirements that follows the guidance in the Council's La
		Character Assessment and Green Infrastructure Strategy to ensure ecologica
		protect the identity and character of nearby settlements and mitigate any sign
		on the landscape in accordance with Policy NE5.
		 The creation of a linear park through the site that broadly correlates with the site the site that broadly corr
		floodplain and existing green infrastructure assets of value within and adjace
		 Be informed by appropriate surveys of archaeology, built heritage and ecolog
		appropriate mitigation of impact as consistent with other policies of the Plan a
		An archaeological field study, including a Geophysical Survey, will required to
		potential below ground archaeology. Where feasible, the Council will expect b
		archaeology to kept in situ in preference to its removal.

ap – for a eds of Milton cil's bid to the iverable is it of the site will be ment land supply.

arly Policies SD1, e prepared in prior to planning

or planning t proposals for

es, including

enting the role

facilities, health, ne district<u>and/or</u> <u>and that would</u> <u>re,</u> with a co-

line with the Local Milton Keynes ing highway works

rastructure, sufficient to enable ent use of land for

nd cycleways <u>and</u> ncluding redways litable safe and

aces to meet _andscape cal connectivity, gnificant impacts

e River Ouzel cent to it. ogy with n and the NPPF. to identify below ground To clarify that the clause for allowing earlier delivery of the site is not restricted to the HIF source of funding alone. To improve effectiveness and consistency with national policy.

MM24	51	Map 2	Adjust boundaries for 'Strategic Urban Extension: Milton Keynes East' and reproduce Map 2 to reflect additional land	Incorporation of additional land ensures the allocation would be
			NEWPORT PAGNELL Tickford En Biakelands Caldecote Biakelands Caldecote Biakelands Caldecote Biakelands Tongwell Dr Biakelands Caldecote Dr Biakelands Caldecote Dr Dr Dr Dr Dr Dr Dr Dr Dr Dr	effective. To reflect PM3
MM25		All Policies Map sheets	Addition of the following nature conservation or geological interest GIS layers, as per Policy NE1 PROTECTION OF SITES. Legends of all Policies Maps sheets updated to reflect the additional GIS layers. Ancient Woodland Traditional Orchards Local Wildlife Sites Biological Notification Sites	To represent the spatial distribution of nature conservation or geological interest sites protected by Policy NE1
MM26		All Policies Map sheets	Local Nature Reserves Biodiversity Opportunity Areas Local Geological Sites Addition of the Priority Habitats GIS layer, as per Policy NE2 PROTECTED SPECIES AND PRIORITY SPECIES AND HABITATS. Legends of all Policies Maps sheets updated to reflect the additional GIS layer.	To represent the spatial distribution of priority habitats protected by Policy NE2

MM27	80	ER11	Policy ER11 ASSESSING EDGE OF CENTRE AND OUT OF CENTRE PROPOSALS Sequential Test Proposals for main town centre uses which are outside defined Town Centre Areas, including-significant edge of centre-ordial development and which are not on sites that are specifically allocated for such uses, will be subject to the sequential testing as required by national planning policy, and will only be permitted which: Furthermore, any such proposal should The development would be readily accessible by a choice of means of transport, including public transport, cycle and an foot, and by people with disabilities, or that such accessibility can be provided. Impact Test Proposals for retail and leisure development which are outside defined Town Centre Areas, and which are not on sites that are specifically allocated for such uses, will be subject to an impact assessment as set out by national planning policy, subject to being above the following thresholds: A. Central Mitton Keynes - 900 sq.m (gross) B. All Other Centres - 330 sg.m (gross) B. All Other Centres - 300 sg.m (gross) B. Did that seeks to assess the impact of the proposal on the PSA. This should include assessment of: a) The impact of the proposal on existing, committed and planned public and private investment in the PSA, and b) The impact of the proposal on existing, committed and planned public and private investment in the PSA, and b) The impact of the proposal on existing, committed and planned public and private investment in the PSA, and b) The impact of t	Policy ER11 has been modified to clarify how the two impact thresholds in the policy for the city centre and for other town, district and local centres within the Borough will be implemented. (AP32) This modification replaces modification MM5 above.
------	----	------	--	--

MM28 86 ER19	Policy ER19	Policy modified to clarify
	NON-RETAIL USES ON GROUND FLOORS IN TOWN CENTRES	what is meant by non- retail uses and to
	Planning permission will be granted for non- class retail A1 uses in town centres if they satisfy all of the following criteria:	improve comprehension of the policy. AP 35
	 The proposed use is appropriate within a town centre setting. There is not an existing over-concentration of non- class <u>A1</u> such uses within the town centre boundary. and they do not create a continuous frontage of 3 or more units in non-retail use within the primary shopping frontage The general restrictions on the location and proportion <u>of</u> on non-retail uses set out in table 6.3 <u>are met.</u> The proposed use would enhance the vitality of the town centre by extending the range of facilities offered and/or stimulating activity outside normal shopping hours. The non-retail uses <u>They</u> would not have an adverse effect on any nearby residential property. The proposed use would maintain an appropriate window display to avoid the creation of a dead frontage. Above ground floor level, proposals for residential (<u>class</u> C3) financial and professional services (<u>class</u> A2) and office floorspace (<u>class</u> B1a uses) will be encouraged. Non retail uses are defined as defined as any use class other than class A1 (Shop uses) as defined in the 1987 Use Classes Order as amended. 	
MM29 39 SD2	Amend second paragraph of Policy SD2	Modification to area comprising the CMK
	The retail core , <u>of CMK is the primary shopping area</u> as defined on the Policies Map, will continue to be focused around the Primary Shopping Area, defined as the area between Silbury Boulevard, Avebury Boulevard, Saxon Gate and Marlborough Gate <u>and including the area around the Xscape</u> building between Avebury Boulevard, Secklow Gate, Child Way and west of Marlborough Street.,	Primary Shopping Area (PSA) required following Inspector's letter after the stage 1 hearings. (Point 10 in document INS 4 refers.) This said the PSA should reflect that in the adopted Core Strategy, excluding the area around the Xscape building.
		This modification will require modifications to Figure 1 CMK City Centre Boundary Map, the Policies Map and to paragraphs 4.57 and 6.44

13	Para 4.6	Delete Paragraph 4.6 and replace with new section entitled "Review of Plan:MK" with text to read as follows:	Text to support new Policy on review of
		Review of Plan:MK	Plan:MK, to provide the context for an early
		As outlined in the strategic objectives of Plan:MK, Milton Keynes Council is committed to realising the city's potential as the hub of the Cambridge-Milton Keynes-Oxford growth corridor, responding positively to the	review.
		aspirations of the Council's MK Futures 2050 programme, the Council Plan 2016-2020 and the NIC report	
		already undertaking a joint Strategic Growth Study in partnership with Aylesbury Vale District Council and	
		alongside the provision of local and strategic infrastructure and mechanisms to support delivery.	
		Once completed, the study will inform the preparation of Milton Keynes Council's Strategy for 2050 which	
		will provide a framework for how the aspirational levels of growth supported by the Council should be delivered, to be translated into future reviews of the Local Plan. This study may also form the basis for a	
		potential cross-boundary, joint strategic plan on a wider geography.	
		Given the ongoing nature of this work and the need for clarity on a range of issues, including the delivery of national infrastructure projects and discussions with Government around potential housing and/or new	
		settlement deals in the growth corridor, it was considered premature for Plan:MK to formalise these growth	
		<u>Milton Keynes Council is therefore committing, as outlined in Policy DS1, to an early review of Plan:MK, with</u> the intention that a draft plan containing strategic policies will be submitted to Government for examination	
		no later than the end of 2022. Determined through ongoing discussions with neighbouring authorities and government, this may take the form of a joint strategic plan.	
		Plan:MK will have a plan period of 12 years to 2031 and although it allocates sites for well above its OAN for	
		nousing, it does not set a housing requirement that reflects the long-term aspiration for transformational growth.	
		A deadline of 2022 will therefore enable the Council to adopt its Strategy for 2050 (envisaged for completion	
		in 2019), allow the plan review to reflect decisions on key infrastructure projects such as the routing of the proposed Cambridge to Oxford expressway, and allow time for the review to take full account of and be	
		prepared in the context of, the emerging growth agenda across the corridor.	
	13	13 Para 4.6 14 Para 4.6 15 Para 4.6 16 Para 4.6 17 Para 4.6 18 Para 4.6 19 Para 4.6	Review of Plan:MK As outlined in the strategic objectives of Plan:MK. Milton Keynes Council is committed to realising the city's potential as the hub of the Cambridge-Milton Keynes-Oxtord growth corridor, responding positively to the aspirations of the Council's MK Futures 2050 programme, the Council Plan 2016-2020 and the NIC report 'Partnering for Prosperity', to expand the City to a population of approximately 500,000 people by 2050. Lis recognised that to achieve this transformational level of growth, a coordinated, strategic approach which involves joint working with neighbouring authorities will be required. To this end, Milton Keynes Council is already undertaking a joint Strategic Growth Study in partnership with Aylesbury Vale District Council and South Northamptonshipe Council to assess how transformational growth can be delivered in this area, alonaside the provision of local and strategic infrastructure and mechanisms to support delively. Once completed, the study will inform the preparation of Milton Keynes Council's Strategy for 2050 which will provide a framework for how the aspirational levels of rowth supported by the Council should be delivered, to be transfated into future reviews of the Local Plan. This study may also form the basis for a potential cross-boundary, joint strategic plan on a wider geography. Given the ongoing nature of this work and the need for clarity on a range of issues, including the delivery of national infrastructure projects and discussions with Government around potential housing and/or new sattlement deals in the growth corridor, it was considered premature for Plan.MK to formalise these growth aspirations. Milton Keynes Council is therefore committing, as outlined in Policy DS1, to an early review of Plan.MK, with the intention that a draft plan containing strategic pl

MM31	13		Para 4.5	Insert new Policy after new text as outlined in MM30, to read as follows:	Insertion of new policy to outline commitment to
				Policy DS0 Review of Plan:MK	an early review of the strategic elements of Plan:MK.
				The Council commits to undertaking an early review of Plan:MK, with the submission of a draft plan for examination, containing strategic policies for the long-term growth of Milton Keynes, no later than December 2022.	
				Following the completion of the joint Strategic Growth Study and adoption of the Council's Strategy for 2050, the review of Plan:MK will bring the delivery of the long-term aspirations for transformational growth into a statutory planning policy document.	
				The parameters and format of the review will also reflect Milton Keynes' growth proposals within the context of progress on the delivery of the Government's wider Cambridge – Milton Keynes – Oxford Corridor growth agenda, including associated national infrastructure projects.	
				The review will also develop and formalise, as appropriate, joint working arrangements with neighbouring authorities which may result in the preparation of a joint strategic plan on a wider geography.	
MM32	204	SC1		Criterion 2:	Improve effectiveness and clarity
				Water reuse and recycling and rainwater harvesting should also be incorporated wherever feasible to reduce demand on mains water supply subject to viability.	
MM33	139	EH8		Hot food takeaways (Class A5) which are proposed within close proximity to a primary or secondary school will only be permitted if: the takeaway is located more than 400m from the main school entrance.	Improve effectiveness
				•The takeaway is located a minimum of 400m from the school entrance.	
				 The 400m distance will be assessed on the most logical walking distance from the main school entrance 	
				The Council may impose conditions restricting opening hours of hot food takeaways	
MM34	139		9.40	The Council has produced an indicative map (refer to Appendix I). This confirms the intent of the policy is to manage food options in proximity to schools to address the prevalence of overweight and obesity in children. As such, Policy EH8 would not result in a moratorium on additional Hot Food Takeaway provision in	Improve clarity
				the Borough including locations such as CMK and a number of district/town centres. More detailed analysis of the 400m logical walking distance on a case by case basis may provide some further flexibility.	
MM35					Improve elerity and
10110133			New Appendix I	Appendix I Indicative Map identifying Hot Food Takeaway exclusion zones	Improve clarity and effectiveness
				Insert text below map:	
				Policy EH8 intends to measure 400m based on the most logical walking distance from the main	
				school entrance. It is therefore likely that the buffer zones, as shown in the above map, will be reduced and is only an indicative map	