Haversham-cum-Little Linford Neighbourhood Plan

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

Draft Environmental Report

February 2022

Contents

		Page
1.	Introduction	2
2.	The Scope of the SEA	4
3.	The Sustainability Context	5
4.	The Sustainability Baseline	6
5.	Appraisal of Reasonable Alternatives	8
6.	Appraisal of the Draft Plan	12
Appen	dix A – Designated Heritage Assets dix B – Archaeological Notification Sites dix C – Local Areas of Special Character	

Appendix D – Neighbourhood Plan Site Appraisal

1.0 Introduction

1.0 Background

1.0.1 This Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is being undertaken in support of the emerging Haversham-cum-Little Linford Neighbourhood Plan (HNP). The HNP is being prepared, under the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012, in accordance with the Localism Act 2011. The Plan, once adopted, will present planning policy and guidance for the parish as part of the wider Development Plan. It will provide a spatial framework for determining planning applications up to 2031.

1.1 SEA Explained

- 1.1.1 SEA is a mechanism for considering and communicating the likely effects of a draft Plan, and alternatives, with a view to avoiding and mitigating adverse environmental effects and maximising the positives, where possible. SEA is a legally required process which must be undertaken in line with the procedures prescribed by the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (2004) (the 'SEA Regulations') which were prepared in order to transpose into national law the European SEA Directive¹.
- 1.1.2 To meet the requirements of the SEA Regulations, a report (the 'Environmental Report') must be published for consultation alongside the draft Plan that presents information on the likely significant effects of implementing the Plan and reasonable alternatives. The report must then be taken into account, alongside consultation responses, when finalising the Plan.

1.2 The Environmental Report

- 1.2.1 This document comprises the Environmental Report for the Haversham-cum-Little Linford Neighbourhood Plan and must provide certain specified information, namely it must answer four questions:
 - 1) What is the scope of the SEA?
 - The parameters for the SEA must be established through a scoping exercise at the outset of Plan-making
 - 2) What has plan-making / SEA involved up to this point?
 - Preparation of the draft Plan must have been informed by at least one earlier Plan-making / SEA iteration. Reasonable alternatives must have been assessed.
 - 3) What are the SEA findings at this stage?
 - i.e. in relation to the draft Plan.
 - 4) What happens next? (including monitoring).

¹ Directive 2001/42/EC

1.2.2 Each of the above questions are answered in this Environmental Report. Figure 1, below, summarises the regulatory basis for answering these questions.

Enviro	nmental Report Question	Environmental Assessment of Plans and				
		Programmes Regulations (2004)				
		Requirements				
	What is the plan seeking to achieve?	-An outline of the contents, main objectives of the Plan and relationship with other relevant plans and programmes				
What is the scope of the	What is the sustainability context?	-Relevant environmental protection objectives, established at international or national level -Any existing environmental problems which are relevant to the Plan including those relating to any areas of a particular environmental importance				
SEA?	What is the sustainability baseline?	 -Relevant aspects of the current state of the environment and the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the Plan -The environmental characteristics of areas likely to be significantly affected -Any existing environmental problems which are relevant to the Plan including those relating to any areas of a particular environmental importance 				
	What are the key issues and objectives that should be a focus?	 -Key environmental problems/issues and objectives that should be a focus of (i.e. provide a 'framework' for) assessment 				
What has plan-making/SEA involved up to this point?		-Outline reasons for selecting the alternatives dealt with (and thus an explanation of the reasonableness' of the approach) -The likely significant effects associated with alternatives -Outline reasons for selecting the preferred approach in light of alternatives assessment/a description of how environmental objectives and considerations are reflected in the draft				
What ł	happens next?	Plan -A description of the monitoring measures envisaged				

Figure 1: Questions answered by the Environmental Report, in line with Regulatory requirements

2.0 The Scope of the SEA

2.0 Introduction

- 2.01 The proposal in the draft plan, which led to the requirement for an SEA, concerns the allocation of a site for housing. It is considered that a SEA is merited due to the plan's potential for likely significant environmental effects for sites with potential for remains of archaeological interest.
- 2.02 The SEA should only focus on what is needed to assess the likely significant effects of the neighbourhood plan. It does not need to be done in any more detail, or using more resources, than is considered to be appropriate for the content and level of detail in the neighbourhood plan. Consequently, the scope of the SEA is restricted to the impact of the Plan on the historic environment.
- 2.03 The scope of the SEA has been agreed through consulting with the consultation bodies, namely Natural England, the Environment Agency and Historic England.

2.1 What is the Plan seeking to achieve?

- 2.1.1 In order to meet the requirements of the Regulations, the Environmental Report must include an outline of the contents, main objectives of the Plan and relationship with other relevant plans and programmes. The Haversham-cum-Little Linford Neighbourhood Plan is currently being developed as a Neighbourhood Plan under the Localism Act 2012. Plan:MK was formally adopted in March 2019. Plan:MK provides the statutory land use planning framework for Milton Keynes. Policy HE1 (Heritage and Development) provides the local planning policy framework for the assessment of development proposals affecting heritage assets. It is a strategic policy to be considered when preparing neighbourhood plans.
- 2.1.2 The Haversham Community Housing Needs Survey identified a need for housing in the parish, particularly smaller, low-cost housing and housing available to meet the needs of those wishing to downsize from larger family properties. The main purpose of the HNP will therefore be to identify the most appropriate location for these new homes.
- 2.1.3 The Parish Council is aware that the adopted Plan:MK requires no further housing site allocations to be made in Haversham to 2031 but assumes that 420 rural homes will come forward as 'windfall schemes' (i.e. approved planning applications for schemes consistent with its other policies). Although Milton Keynes Council have issued a nominal housing requirement figure of 1, the Parish Council is also mindful of the provisions of §14 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) in respect of securing protection for its Plan and of the need for an early review of Plan:MK. It has therefore made housing site allocations of a total quantum that is consistent with its status as a 'Village and rural settlement' in the settlement hierarchy.

2.2 Vision and Objectives for the Plan

2.2.1 The vision and objectives for the HNP were developed following consultation between the Steering Group and residents to establish what the Plan sought to achieve. The overarching vision for the Plan is as follows:

"Haversham-cum-Little Linford remains a rural area distinct from the city of Milton Keynes. The parish has undergone some managed change to improve quality of life and access to local housing whilst preserving the rural village environment. These changes have not only avoided harm to biodiversity and connectivity but also helped create new ecological value and improved connectivity."

- 2.2.2 The Pre-submission draft Neighbourhood Plan includes the following objectives:
 - To provide affordable homes for local people, particularly for those looking to downsize and young people wanting to stay in the parish;
 - To encourage measures that will make the parish's roads safer for all users;
 - To ensure that important green spaces are protected from development;
 - To ensure all new development respects the rural character of the area in which it takes place in terms of design, layout, materials and the surrounding landscape; and
 - To maintain and improve biodiversity, connectivity and the quality of the natural environment.

3.0 The Sustainability Context

3.0 Introduction

- 3.0.1 In order to comply with the Regulations, the Environmental Report must include:
 - The relevant sustainability objectives, established at international and national level; and
 - Any existing sustainability problems and issues which are relevant to the Plan including, in particular, those relating to any areas/populations etc. of particular importance.

3.1 Historic Environment

3.1.1 The NPPF requires that planning authorities should seek to sustain or enhance the significance of all heritage assets and that substantial harm to designated heritage assets should be wholly exceptional. It also states that archaeological remains (in particular) that are of national interest should be treated similarly. It advises that planning authorities should seek to avoid or minimise conflict between the need to conserve heritage assets (either designated or non-designated) and any aspect of a proposal under consideration.

- 3.1.2 Where development could result in harm to heritage assets that cannot be avoided (including through the development of alternative sites), it requires that this be clearly justified on the basis of public benefits that could not otherwise be delivered.
- 3.1.3 Plan:MK recognises the rich and diverse heritage context of the Borough. It seeks to ensure that all designated, and other non-designated but important assets, are conserved and where possible enhanced. Policy HE1 requires development proposals to sustain, and where possible, enhance the significance of heritage assets that are recognised as being of historic, archaeological, architectural, artistic, landscape or townscape significance.
- 3.1.4 In preparing the draft Plan, the HNP Steering Group have taken into consideration when assessing plan options and their potential impact on the historic environment the Milton Keynes Historic Environment Record (HER) as the record of non-designated heritage assets.

4.0 The Sustainability Baseline

4.0 Introduction

- 4.0.1 In order to comply with the Regulations, this report must include the following:
 - The relevant aspects of the current state of the sustainability baseline and the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the Plan;
 - The characteristics of areas/populations likely to be significantly affected; and
 - Any existing sustainability problems or issues which are relevant to the Plan including, in particular, those relating to any areas or problems of particular importance.
- 4.0.2 Analysis of the baseline information enables the current and future situation in the Neighbourhood Area to be evaluated. It also enables existing problems and issues to be identified so proposals within the Neighbourhood Plan can be designed to help tackle the issues.

4.1 Historic Environment

The current situation

- 4.1.1 There are 12 listed buildings and 1 Scheduled Monument. Descriptions and the location of these designated heritage assets is included at Appendix A. There is no conservation area designation within the parish.
- 4.1.2 Undesignated actual or potential archaeological finds in the area are also of significance. The Milton Keynes Council Historic Environment Record (HER) forms a central collection of non-designated heritage assets that must be regarded in

assessing Plan options and their potential impact on the historic environment. Milton Keynes Council has identified Archaeological Notification sites where there is potential archaeological interest. Appendix B shows the extent of Archaeological Notification sites within the parish.

4.1.3 There are many buildings and associated locations in the Parish which are not listed or otherwise formally protected but are also considered of historic importance by the community. These non-designated heritage assets have been identified in line with Policy HE1 of Plan:MK and are listed below:

1. Land and buildings in the area surrounding Haversham Church, Haversham Manor, Old Rectory, Moat, Fishponds and Dovecote, together with land extending northwards from the church to, and beyond the old school. To cover both sides of the road down the hill to Old Haversham (southern end). The site includes two old barns between footpath 43 and the church.

2. The Greyhound Public House and the interconnected 'Old Forge' building, including the old horse chestnut tree in front of The Greyhound.

3. Land surrounding the site of the former old mill at Haversham, including the mill race, wharf and mill house.

4. Land of archaeological value surrounding the ruins of St Peter's Church in Stanton Low, including site of old manor house and gardens and the former settlement of Stanton Low.

5. Land of archaeological value surrounding Hill Farm.

6. Land surrounding St Leonard's Church in Little Linford.

4.1.4 The Draft Neighbourhood Plan (policy HLL6) proposes two Local Areas of Special Character, based on these non-designated heritage assets (see Appendix C).

The likely evolution thereof should the plan or programme not be implemented

4.1.5 Traffic growth has the potential to lead to effects on historic environment across the Plan area. Existing historic environment designations and Plan:MK policies will offer protection to heritage assets and their settings.

5.0 Appraisal of Reasonable Alternatives

5.0 Introduction


5.0.1 This section of the report outlines the likely significant effects on the environment associated with alternatives in respect of the SEA objective and an outline of the reasons for selecting the preferred option.

5.1 Developing the Haversham-cum-Little Linford Neighbourhood Plan

- 5.1.1 Haversham Neighbourhood Plan Area was designated on 8 February 2017. Following this, consultation exercises were carried out by the Steering Group, including questionnaire surveys in June/July 2018 and December 2020.
- 5.1.2 The results of the two questionnaires, feedback from a Public Meeting, the Housing Needs Survey as well as the Informal Technical Assessments have been taken into account by the Steering Group as they have developed the Policies contained within the draft Neighbourhood Plan.

5.2 Identifying Reasonable Alternatives

- 5.2.1 A central component of producing the Plan has been assessing potential housing sites, so that a preferred option could be selected. Whilst the Plan is not solely about housing allocations, the allocation of land for 16 new dwellings is the key element of the Plan where alternative options exist.
- 5.2.2 After an initial sieve, 5 sites were considered as reasonable alternatives (see Site Assessment Plans 1 and 2).
- 5.2.3 In line with the Regulations, the Environmental Report must include the key problems, issues and objectives that should be a focus of, or provide a framework for, appraisal. Drawing on the review of the sustainability context and baseline, the SEA Scoping Report identified the impact of allocating sites for housing on the historic environment as the key issue.
- 5.2.4 This issue has been translated into a sustainability objective, which provides a methodological framework for the appraisal of likely significant effects on the baseline. The SEA objective against which options for site allocations should be tested is: That heritage assets, including site of archaeological interest are conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance.




Haversham Neighbourhood Plan Site Assessment Plan 1: June 2020



Site Boundary

3. Land north of 27 High Street, Old Haversham - 1.0ha 5. Land east of High Street, Old Haversham - 0.9ha 10. Land west of High Street (nearest paddock), Old Haversham - 0.8ha 11. Land south of 27 High Street, Old Haversham - 1.0ha



5.2.5 The proposals within the neighbourhood plan can be assessed against the SEA objective above and based on the level of effect or impact as follows:

Assessment Symbol	Explanation
++	Significant positive effects
+	Positive effects, benefits clearly outweigh any disadvantages
0	Overall neutral, effects both positive and negative roughly balancing each other
-	Negative effects, disadvantages outweigh any benefits
	Significant negative effects
N/a	Either no interaction between the policy option and assessment criteria, or the effect is not location specific

5.3 Summary of Reasonable Alternatives Appraisal

Sites	Heritage Assessment
3. Land north of 27 High	Evidence for Romano-British occupation in the surrounding area (Hill
Street, Old Haversham	Farm, c.300m to the north, is the site of a possible Roman villa but finds
	of Roman artefacts have been made in adjacent fields also).
5. Land east of High	Evidence for Romano-British occupation in surrounding area (Hill Farm,
Street, Old Haversham	c.400m to the north, is the site of a possible Roman villa but finds of
	Roman artefacts have been made in adjacent fields also).
6. Land west of	Distant from any designated assets or known archaeological sites.
Wolverton Road, New	
Haversham	
10. Land west of High	Contains some possible earthworks seen on Lidar that may denote
Street (nearest paddock),	medieval or post-medieval village shrinkage or be the result of small-
Old Haversham	scale gravel extraction.
	Proximity to grade II listed Pike's Farm may be a concern due to setting.
11. Land south of 27 High	Potential for medieval / post-medieval frontage occupation or Romano-
Street, Old Haversham	British occupation.
	Proximity to grade II listed Pike's Farm and grade II* Haversham Grange
	may be a concern due to setting.

5.3.1 Table 1 below provides a heritage assessment of the potential housing sites:

Table 1. Heritage assessment of potential housing sites

5.3.2 This assessment indicates that without mitigation, sites 3, 5, 10 and 11 could have a negative effect as a result of potential harm to a heritage asset or its setting. Any potential impact on archaeological assets can be mitigated by requiring a pre-application archaeological assessment to be submitted. The impact of the development of site 11 on the setting of nearby listed buildings is reduced by intervening buildings. Mitigation can also be provided by requiring that proposals should respect heritage assets and their settings in the locality in accordance with their significance. Strategic Environmental Assessment allows for mitigation measures to be taken into account before assessing whether an option or policy will have a significant negative effect on the environment. Table 2 provides an assessment of potential housing sites both with and without mitigation.

Sites	Assessment (without mitigation)	Assessment (with mitigation)
3. Land north of 27 High Street, Old Haversham	-	0
5. Land east of High Street, Old Haversham	-	0
6. Land west of Wolverton Road, New Haversham	0	0
10. Land west of High Street (nearest paddock), Old Haversham	-	0
11. Land south of 27 High Street, Old Haversham	-	0

 Table 2. SEA assessment of potential housing sites

5.4 Selecting the Preferred Option

- 5.4.1 This section presents an overview of the rationale for selecting the preferred option in light of the findings of the appraisal of reasonable alternatives.
- 5.4.2 This appraisal has established that with mitigation any of the proposed options would not have a significant effect on the historic environment.
- 5.4.3 However, the preferred approach is not only determined by the SEA process. The options have also been subject to:
 - An appraisal against the vision and objectives developed in consultation with local people (appendix D);
 - An appraisal of the site choices in consultation with local people;
 - An appraisal against planning policy (comprising the NPPF and policies of the Milton Keynes Council Plan:MK); and
 - Discussions with landowners and site promoters.
- 5.4.4 Appendix D provides an appraisal of the sites against sustainable development objectives and priority policy objectives (with mitigation measures). Sites 3 and 10 perform the least well, with major adverse environmental effects predicted at Site 10 due to its backland location in relation to the linear development of Old Haversham. Site 5 scored better than sites 3 and 10 but did not perform well in the community survey.
- 5.4.5 Sites 6 and 11 scored best against the technical site appraisal and in terms of community preferences. Following the community consultations, the HNP Steering Group requested sketch schemes from landowners of Site 6 and Site 11. Site 6 revised its original proposal to incorporate a larger site and confirmed that a smaller site of a size that is consistent with its status as a 'Village and rural settlement' in the settlement hierarchy was no longer available. Consequently, site 6 was removed from further consideration. Building on the appraisal findings, the HNP Steering Group viewed a number of clear advantages in selecting Site 11. As the informal assessment indicated that Site 11 can avoid or mitigate any adverse effects and it performed well in the community survey, ranking as the second preference overall, the Steering Group agreed that Site 11 most closely met the community's policy priority objectives. On this basis, Site 11 was recommended for allocation to deliver up to 16 new homes over the plan period.

6.0 Appraisal of the Draft Plan

6.0 Introduction

- 6.0.1 This section of the report must include:
 - The likely significant effects associated with the draft plan approach; and
 - The measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and as fully as possible offset any significant adverse effects of implementing the draft plan approach.

6.1 Historic Environment

- 6.1.1 Land south of 27 High Street, Old Haversham (site 11 in the Site Assessment) is allocated for housing in the draft Plan. It is located in close proximity to listed buildings. However, the impact of development on the setting of these buildings is reduced by intervening buildings. Draft Plan policy HLL4 requires that proposals should respect heritage assets and their settings in the locality in accordance with their significance.
- 6.1.2 Potential effects on the historic environment can be limited by policies put forward by the draft Plan. Policy HLL2 specifically seeks to ensure that the development of Site 11 would not impact archaeological assets, recommending an archaeological assessment be prepared to confirm this position.

6.2 Conclusions

- 6.2.1 The preferred approach presented by the draft Plan does not lead to any significant negative effects. Any potential effects to the historic environment by the allocating of Land south of 27 High Street, Old Haversham have been mitigated in policy HLL2 by requiring a pre-application archaeological assessment.
- 6.2.2 At this stage, one recommendation is made to mitigate the adverse effects of implementing the Plan. This is:
 - Include an objective within the Plan to protect the parish's historic assets, including sites of archaeological significance.

6.2 Next Steps

- 6.3.1 The Submission Draft Haversham-cum-Little Linford Neighbourhood Plan (HNP), as well as this Environmental Report, will be submitted to Milton Keynes Council for its consideration under Regulation 16 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. Milton Keynes Council will consider whether the Plan is suitable to go forward to Independent Examination in terms of the HNP meeting legal requirements and its compatibility with the Local Plan. Subject to their agreement, the Plan will then be subject to examination, whereby the Examiner will consider whether it is in general conformity with the Local Plan.
- 6.3.2 The Examiner will be able to recommend that the HNP is put forward for referendum, or that it should be modified. The Council will then decide what should be done in light of the Examiner's report and invite the HNP Steering Group to make those necessary changes, which will be reflected in an updated Environmental Report.
- 6.3.3 Once adopted, the HNP will become part of the Development Plan for the area. The parish council will be consulted on planning applications submitted for the allocated housing site and will monitor proposals submitted to ensure that a pre-application archaeological assessment has been submitted in accordance with Policy HLL2.


Appendix A Historic heritage

The table below contains a summary of all listed buildings and historic monuments within the Parish. It is taken from the website of Historic England (www. historicengland.org.uk), which includes more detailed schedules on the history and building materials of each listed building or historic monument. The locations of each of these sites are shown in Map A1.

<u>Name/</u> Location	Category	UID	Description (Edited version of formal listing)
Haversham		-	
Wolverton Viaduct	Grade II	1389687	Railway viaduct. Built 1837-8 for the London and Birmingham Railway, engineer Robert Stephenson, widened on the east side 1878-82 by the London and North Western Railway to accommodate two additional lines. Built in red brick in English bond, repaired in blue engineering bricks with red sandstone dressings. Blue engineering brick used throughout in the widened part.
			It is the most impressive of the several viaducts on the line, being taller, more elaborately treated and with wider arches. It was one of the principal landmarks of the first trunk railway and one of the earliest viaducts on this scale.
Parish Church of St Mary	Grade I	1212152	Parish Church. C12 nave, late C12 tower. C13 chancel rebuilt, south aisle C14, but enlarged circa 1340. North aisle of C13 enlarged c1360. C14 south porch. Nave clerestory C15. Coursed rubblestone with limestone dressings lead roofs except to south porch which has old tile roof. South east chapel has C17 straight mullion square head east window. Norman west window with chevron outer order on columns with volute capitals. Tower has 2- light openings within round arch, battlemented parapet. South porch has small east trefoiled window.
			Interior: Chancel arch of chamfered orders, c1325. South nave arcade of 2 chamfered orders, octagonal piers and moulded capitals. East respond has knot corbel. North nave arcade c1360 as south but with moulded label and head stops. In south chapel, piscina with trefoiled ogee heads. C14. 2 niches in nave east wall. North aisle benches, C16 with cut poppy heads to bench ends.
			<u>Stained glass</u> . South aisle, west end window and chancel north side, west window 1496, figures including St. John Baptist. In south chapel east window fragments of figures and cross, C15. East window by J.T. Micklethwaite circa 1890.
Dovecote at Haversham Manor and To South of St Marys Church	Grade II	1115928	Dovecote. Dated 1665. Coursed rubblestone, old tile roof. Square on plan with pyramid roof surmounted by cupola and wrought iron weather vane Doorway in west wall, 3-light oak framed window on south wall, high up. Date stone on north wall, inscribed 'M.T. 1665'. (Maurice Thompson purchased the manor in 1664). Walls lined with stone nest-boxes.
Moated site, fishponds and associated earthworks 150m south- east of Haversham Manor	Schedule	1011300	Despite having suffered some disturbance in the past, the site survives largely intact and is a good example of its class. The central platform contains archaeological evidence relating to the occupation of the island, including the survival of buried structural foundations relating to the buildings that originally stood upon it. Environmental evidence relating to the landscape in which the monument was constructed will survive in the deeper ditch deposits and in the fishponds.
			The moated enclosure is rectangular in shape. The central platform of the moat is raised slightly above the surrounding land surface. The interior

			surface of the platform is disturbed and uneven particularly in the northern half indicating the survival of sub-surface building remains.
			In the south-western corner of the platform, separated from the edge of the moat by a narrow bank, is a rectangular hollow Its close proximity to the edge of the moat suggests that it is likely to be the remains of a small fishpond.
			To the east of the moated enclosure a recently constructed lake approaches to within 50m of the moat edge; in the area between are the truncated remains of fragmentary banks and hollows which are thought to represent the remains of village cross or garden plots.
			A roughly rectangular hollow which lies adjacent to the north-eastern corner of the moat may represent the remains of a second early fishpond. To the east of the moat some 60m upslope is a large fishpond which appears later in date. A second smaller pond of similar age lies to the north.
The Old Rectory	Grade II	1320193	Former Rectory, now house. Early C18 with 1861-1862 north range. C18 elevations red brick with flared headers. Victorian range red and orange brick. Old tile roofs. 5 bays, 2 storeys plus dormers, Victorian range across north and projecting to west to form letter L-plan, 2 storeys plus attic. C18 part has central door and mid C19 Doric porch, 2 sashes to left, bay window to right. 5 sashes to 1st floor with early C18 thick glazing bars. 3 hipped dormers with 2-light casements, flanking stacks of old thin bricks. South front of 2 builds, 2 left bays early C18 with thick upper floor glazing bars to sashes. Interior has mid C19 fireplaces and metal rosettes to cornice friezes in downstairs rooms.
Summerhous e and Walled Garden to North of the Old Rectory	Grade II	1115929	Walls C18 or early C19, summerhouse 1861 to 1862. Walls outer skin coursed limestone inner skin brick. Tiled copings. Summerhouse brick and slated roof. Walls round three sides of square to north of Old Rectory with summerhouse in centre of north wall. Gabled front with arched centre door flanked by windows to form Palladian front cogged eaves to open pediment.
Pike's Farm	Grade II	1320194	C18, altered. Coursed rubblestone, slate roofs. 5 bays, 2 storey plus dormers, with 2 rear wings at right angles, both of two storeys and late C18 or early C19. South east front has central entry with 6 panel door, rectangular fanlight and panelled reveals. To south west gable of main block is french door with margin panes. Britannia fire insurance plaque on right hand gable.
Haversham Grange	Grade II*	1212158	House, in C14 grange to Lavendon Abbey. C14 with crossing rebuilt 1628, C16, C19 alterations. Coursed rubblestone with dressing. Old tile roofs. 2 bay medieval hall house with raised cruck, cross passage and rebuilt cross wing. 2 storeys plus attics. C16 chimney stack inserted backing onto cross passage and floor inserted in open hall. C19 service building and south lateral stack in chequered brick.
			West elevation has deeply moulded C14 doorway with hood mould on carved heads, diamond window over. 1st floor has to left bay of hall range, gabled window with coped gable on kneelers and small gable window above. North gable coped on kneelers and carved finial, also datestone 'TTE 1628'. South stack stepped and panelled. Tracescfhall window to left of C14 doorway. Hall window complete to rear: North east range. C18 with outside steps to hayloft, south east wing C19 slate roofed.
			Interior: An important example of C14 domestic architecture. Screen passage beyond C14 door has 2 arched openings, one half-blocked, mouldings similar to outer door, then to left timber doorway arch, probably also contemporary. C16 inserted stack backs onto cross passage. Hall truss has raised crucks with arch braced Collar and kingpost. Crucks have wide yoke. Long curved purlin braces.

Hill Farmhouse	Grade II	1289663	Early C19. Coursed rubblestone, slate roofs. 2 storeys, 4 bays, double pile house. North front cement rendered pilastered and corniced entrance in bay 2, panelled reveals, panelled doo. Some windows have cement gauged flat arches with keystones. 3 first floor sashes to bays one to 3. Stacks flank entry bay. South east or garden front has 2 bay windows, sashes above.
Little Linford			
Hall Farm	Grade II	1212150	Farmhouse. C17, C18 and C19. Coursed rubblestone, south elevation part brick. Plain roof tiles. 4 bay south front, left hand bay extending north to form letter-L plan. 2 storeys. South front. 3 left hand bays stone, right hand bay chequer brick. Bay window to outer bays, blocked door to second bay from left, triparite sash to third. 1st floor sashes. North elevation with west wing roof extended eaves and modern dormer. Central door with stone architraved and pedimented doorcase.
			Interior has features salvaged from Little Linford Hall including doorcases with lugged architrave surrounds and overdoors; friezes and cornices with wave mould and egg-and-dart friezes. Also chimney pieces. These are described in RCHM II. 179 MON. 2, Linford hall and dated to late C17.
Gate Piers and Gates to West of Linford Hall	Grade II	1115927	Gate piers. Mid C19, formerly to Linford Hall, now demolished. (The present house is a modern one). Cast iron piers and wrought iron gates. Short lengths of railing to each side of piers. Piers hollow square sections, chamfered sides with bases. Corniced caps with ogee saddleback tops. Scroll tops to gates.
Church of St Leonard and St Andrew	Grade II*	1212140	Parish church. Early C13. South aisle C14, rebuilt c18 or C19. Chancel and north aisle rebuilt Cl9. Rubblestone with limestone dressings. Old tile roof. 2 bay chancel with reused C13 lancet windows. Nave without clerestory. South aisle has C13 doorway, reset, with nail-head enrichment and C14 2 light tracered windows and one 3-light square headed C16 window. West window 3-light 4 centred head. North aisle has C14 2-light tracered windows. West gable has C13 gabled bellcote with 2 arched openings for bells, the jambs and central mullion having attached shafts. C19 north porch.
			Interior: north arcade C13, 2 bays with chamfered orders and moulded octagonal capital to cylindrical column. South Arcade of nave 3 bays also with octagonal moulded capitals and octagonal columns. Font of tub type on square chamfered base, C13. Plaster ceiling to nave with moulded wall plate and curved braces to wall posts. Cambered tiebeams. C15. Chancel arch reponds C13 arch C19. Wall tablets: In chancel 2 ovals with carved wreath surrounds to Sophia Knapp 1795, and Matthew Knapp, 1787. Other C18 and C19 tablets to Knapp family.
Stanton Low			
Ruins of Church of St Peter	Grade II	1125226	Ruins of former parish church. Norman and Cl5, restored early C20 but now a fragmentary ruin. Rubble limestone, walls only. The E. wall of the chancel almost complete, with the arch of a C14 window.



Map A1 Listed buildings and scheduled monuments


Appendix B



Extract of MKC Interactive mapping showing the extent of Archaeological Notification Sites in part of the parish

Appendix C

Local Areas of Special Character



Ordnance Survey, (c) Crown Copyright 2020. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

Haversham-cum-Little Linford Neighboughood Plan Policies Map

December 2021



Parish Boundary



HLL8 - Existing footpaths and bridleways (also part of HLL9)




HLL9 - Existing green and blue infrastructure network

HLL9 - Opportunity wildlife corridor



HLL10 - Important views



Ordnance Survey, (c) Crown Copyright 2020. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432

Haversham-cum-Little Linford Neighboughood Plan Policies Map Inset 2 Old Haversham December 2021

Parish Boundary

HLL1 - Settlement Boundary

HLL2 - Land south of 27 High Street

HLL6 - Non-designated heritage assets i. Land at Old Haversham

HLL8 - Existing footpaths and bridleways (also part of HLL9)

HLL9 - Existing green and blue infrastructure network

HLL9 - Opportunity wildlife corridor

HLL10 - Important views

HLL11 - Community Facility f. The Greyhound Pub g. St Mary's C of E Church h. Sailing Club

Objectives Site details	Economic	Social	Environmental	a) Affordable Homes	b) Village Character	c) Biodiversity	d) Road safety and traffic issues	e) Climate Change	Mains Drainage
3. Land north of 27 High Street, Old Haversham	0	++	0	++	-	+	0	0	0
5. Land east of High Street, Old Haversham	0	++	0	+	0	+	0	++	0
6. Land west of Wolverton Road, New Haversham	0	++	0	+	++	+	+	0	0
10. Land west of High Street (nearest paddock), Old Haversham	0	++	-	++	-	++	-	+	0
11. Land south of 27 High Street, Old Haversham	0	++	0	+	++	+	+	0	0

Table E: Site appraisal against sustainable development objectives and priority policy objectives (with mitigation measures)