

Haversham-cum-Little Linford Neighbourhood Plan

Submission Version

Milton Keynes City Council's Comments

Policy HLL2

Bii - For clarity, the policy should state that it is MKCC's First Homes Policy Position Statement.

Biv – Typo, should refer to Policy HLL5.

Bviii – amend to read “A foul water strategy that avoids unacceptable environmental harm is prepared ~~and approved~~ for approval by the local planning authority to address the absence of a connection to mains drainage to serve the site.”

Bix – amend to read “A sustainable drainage strategy is prepared ~~and approved~~ for approval by the local planning authority to address the effects of surface water run-off within and adjoining the land”

Bxi and xii – is not clear what is meant by “current standing advice”.

Bxiv – the wording should also refer to relevant SPDs.

Policy HLL3

Aii-iv - It is not clear how the maximum scheme size and site area has been arrived at. The key factors to consider are that development should be proportionate to the size of the settlement and should meet a demonstrable need.

Aiii – greater clarity required as to what is meant by ‘main road frontage.’

Policy HLL4

The policy should be amended to state that developments will be required to meet the policy criteria unless it is demonstrated that meeting the criteria would be unfeasible and/or unviable.

Policy HLL5

The statement ‘reflect heritage assets’ in criterion Ai is too vague.

Policy HLL11

The policy shouldn't rely on criteria from policies in another Plan (i.e. Plan:MK). Clause B of the policy should set out relevant exceptions for when change of use of community facilities would be acceptable.

Policy HLL12

A development proposal cannot be required to go beyond mitigating its own harm and impacts.