

Our ref: 794-PLN-MNP-00019/794-PLN-NDIP-00305

Date: 22 December 2025

To:
Development Plans,
Milton Keynes City Council,
Civic, 1 Saxon Gate East,
Milton Keynes
MK9 3EJ



Dear Sir / madam

MK City Plan 2050 – Regulation 19 Consultation

Please find enclosed our representations to the above consultation, submitted on behalf of Richborough ('client'). This submission is in regard to their land interests concerning two sites adjacent to the administrative boundary of Milton Keynes, but which lie exclusively within Buckinghamshire Council area.

The two sites in question are described as 'Land South of Drayton Road' and 'Land east of Newton Road'. We enclose site plans to illustrate the extent of each site. By way of background, we are acting on behalf of our client in regard to the new Buckinghamshire Local Plan on both of these sites, which is currently at the Regulation 18 stage.

To clarify, we are clearly not seeking an allocation of either of these sites within the MK 2050, given they are not located within the City and thus this is a matter for the Buckinghamshire Local Plan process. We nonetheless wish to raise a number of soundness concerns on two matters. First, regarding the spatial strategy for Milton Keynes (under Policy GS2); and second, the approach advocated (under GS11) for dealing specifically with edge-of-city proposals brought forward on land adjacent to the City, of which our two client's sites would fall within the scope of this policy. Our soundness concerns are set out on the accompanying proformas.

Consequently, the policies we are objecting to are:

- Policy GS2: Strategy for homes
- Policy GS11: Adjacent and cross-boundary growth

We kindly request that our concerns are considered by the Inspectors assigned to examine the MK2050 when devising their matters, issues, and questions (MIQs).

Yours sincerely,
for RPS


Cameron Austin-Fell
Planning Director



Appendices


Site Plans

Land South of Drayton Road



<p>0 50.0 100.0 200.0 250.0m</p> <p>The copyright of this drawing is vested with Corstorphine & Wright Ltd and must not be copied or reproduced without the consent of the company.</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>27.11.24</td> <td>00</td> <td>001</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Date</td> <td>Drawn</td> <td>CHK</td> </tr> </table>	27.11.24	00	001	Date	Drawn	CHK	<table border="1"> <tr> <td> </td> <td> Client Richborough Project Drayton Road, Newton Leys Drawing Title Site Location Plan Drawing Status PRELIMINARY </td> </tr> </table>		Client Richborough Project Drayton Road, Newton Leys Drawing Title Site Location Plan Drawing Status PRELIMINARY	<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Corstorphine & Wright Birmingham Studio Alpha Building, Suffolk Street, Birmingham, B1 1TT 0121 731 8380 corstorphinewright.com </td> <td rowspan="2"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> Drawing No. 241000-CWA-ZZ-XX-DR-A-0001 </td> <td> Revision 00 </td> <td> Drawn KK </td> <td> Checked DH </td> </tr> <tr> <td> Paper Size A2 </td> <td> Scale 1:2500 </td> <td> Date 27.01.24 </td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </table>	Corstorphine & Wright Birmingham Studio Alpha Building, Suffolk Street, Birmingham, B1 1TT 0121 731 8380 corstorphinewright.com					Drawing No. 241000-CWA-ZZ-XX-DR-A-0001	Revision 00	Drawn KK	Checked DH	Paper Size A2	Scale 1:2500	Date 27.01.24		
27.11.24	00	001																							
Date	Drawn	CHK																							
	Client Richborough Project Drayton Road, Newton Leys Drawing Title Site Location Plan Drawing Status PRELIMINARY																								
Corstorphine & Wright Birmingham Studio Alpha Building, Suffolk Street, Birmingham, B1 1TT 0121 731 8380 corstorphinewright.com																									
Drawing No. 241000-CWA-ZZ-XX-DR-A-0001	Revision 00	Drawn KK	Checked DH																						
Paper Size A2	Scale 1:2500	Date 27.01.24																							

Land east of Newton Road



SITE LOCATION PLAN



| PEGASUSGROUP.CO.UK | TEAM/DRAWNBY/MC | APPROVED BY/AC | DATE 16/04/2025 | SCALE 1:1250@A0 | DRWG P24-218L_DE_001-02 | REV - | CLIENT RICHBOROUGH |

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Milton Keynes City Plan 2050

Please return by **5.30pm** on **Monday 22nd December 2025** to Development Plans, Milton Keynes City Council, Civic, 1 Saxon Gate East, Milton Keynes MK9 3EJ, or via email at ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable)*

boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

Title


First Name

Last Name

Job Title
(where relevant)

Organisation
(where relevant)

E-mail Address



Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code



Telephone Number

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is: (Please tick as appropriate)

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

No

4.(2) Sound

Yes

No

4.(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Policy GS2 Strategy for homes

This policy identifies a minimum housing requirement of 50,372 net new dwellings, to be met over the plan period (2022-2050). This equates to an average of 1,799 dwellings per annum (dpa). The requirement is derived from the updated standard method published in December 2024.

To meet the housing requirement, the policy makes provision for 59,779 dwellings. Table 1 of the policy shows the breakdown of the land supply to be delivered. This level of provision in the Plan represents an exceedance of 9,407 dwellings, or c. 19%, above the minimum number of homes required. It equates to an average of 2,134 dwellings per annum.

Aligning jobs and homes

Paragraph A of the policy states “...a total of 59,779 homes are planned to provide an adequate buffer on meeting the minimum housing requirement and to support the growth ambitions set out within the Strategy for 2050. These will be delivered through the sources of supply set out in Table 1...” (RPS emphasis)

Policy GS2 is seeking to adopt a ‘housing supply-led’ growth strategy in excess of the minimum homes required in Milton Keynes. In order to deliver this ‘above trend’ strategy, it is logical and reasonable to assume that an additional supply of people and households will be drawn from outside the CMK area in order to occupy (some, or all) of the additional dwellings. There is at least some recognition of this potential outcome in the Council’s evidence on housing need, which states (in regard to job creation):

“In order to achieve the upper end of the MK 2050 job ambition would require additional workforce, either through adjustments to commuting patterns, increasing economic activity rates, or supporting additional growth in the local working age population.” (HEDNA 2025, para 101) (RPS emphasis)

In our view, this observation is equally applicable to a housing-led strategy in Policy GS2. In order to achieve the above-trend growth in housing (and jobs) will require a proportion of additional households from outside the locally-derived population. However, it is not clear in the Plan or the supporting evidence to demonstrate that the additional population and households would only come predominantly from the Milton Keynes area, and not impact on areas beyond.

Consequently, it is our contention that this strategy poses a risk to emerging plans in neighbouring areas, notably Buckinghamshire, who must also plan appropriately for their own housing needs. These issues should be properly addressed through cross-boundary engagement and evidence gathering as part of the plan making process in Milton Keynes and Buckinghamshire. Such engagement and evidence is absent from the strategy. The strategy is not soundly based.

In order to expedite the Plan in a timely manner, we consider that main modifications could be incorporated into Policy GS2 through the examination process that address our concerns. These modifications would facilitate the delivery of homes within close proximity to the administrative boundary of Milton Keynes, is well-connected to the city, and which aligns with the Strategy for 2050 recommended growth options (see Figure 5 of the Growth Strategy Topic Paper). This includes reference to the area of land that runs along the southern edge of Milton Keynes that lies within Buckinghamshire.

We also raise soundness concerns in regard to the approach to meeting the affordable housing needs of the City. We set out our concerns in a separate response.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Insert the following wording to the policy (as point D., after point C.), as follows:

“These homes will be delivered through the sources of supply set out in Table 1 and through...

...D. And support development on the edge of the administrative boundary of Milton Keynes appropriately located to the existing urban area of the city, where this can help address the needs of neighbouring areas in a sustainable manner.”

This modification would assist in establishing, in principle, policy support for growth in close proximity to the city but which is meeting the needs of neighbouring areas, should that need arise, thus reducing the impact of the likely draw of households away from outside Milton Keynes as a consequence of the planned growth strategy.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Sharing your personal details

Please be aware that, due to the process of having an Independent Examination, a name and means of contact is required for your representation to be considered. Respondent details and representations will be forwarded to the Inspector carrying out the examination of the Local Plan after the Proposed Submission period has ended. This data will be managed by a Programme Officer who acts as the point of contact between the Council and the Inspector and respondents and the Inspector.

For more information on how we use your data – please see our privacy notice by using the following link: <https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/milton-keynes-council/privacy-notice/milton-keynes-city-council-corporate-privacy-notice>

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our website alongside your name. *If you are responding as an individual rather than a company or organisation, we will not publish your contact details (email / postal address and telephone numbers) or signatures online.*

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Milton Keynes City Plan 2050

Please return by **5.30pm** on **Monday 22nd December 2025** to Development Plans, Milton Keynes City Council, Civic, 1 Saxon Gate East, Milton Keynes MK9 3EJ, or via email at ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable)*

boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

Title


First Name

Last Name

Job Title
(where relevant)

Organisation
(where relevant)

E-mail Address




Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code



Telephone Number

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is: (Please tick as appropriate)

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.(2) Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox" value="x"/>
4.(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Policy GS1 Our Spatial Strategy

Meeting affordable housing need

This policy identifies a minimum housing requirement of 50,372 net new dwellings, to be met over the plan period (2022-2050). This equates to an average of 1,799 dwellings per annum (dpa). The requirement is derived from the updated standard method published in December 2024.

To meet the housing requirement, the policy makes provision for 59,779 dwellings. This level of provision in the Plan represents an exceedance of 9,407 dwellings, or c. 19%, above the minimum number of homes required. It equates to an average of 2,134 dwellings per annum.

Paragraph A of the policy states “...a total of 59,779 homes are planned to provide an adequate buffer on meeting the minimum housing requirement and to support the growth ambitions set out within the Strategy for 2050. These will be delivered through the sources of supply set out in Table 1...” (RPS emphasis)

The latest evidence indicates a total need for affordable homes is 18,061 over the 28-year plan period (2022-2050). This is summarised in Figure 15 of the HEDNA Update (October 2025). Against this level of need, the Council suggests that around 15,000 affordable homes can be delivered during the same period (MK2050, para 25). On the Council’s own evidence, this represents a shortfall of around 3,000 affordable homes to be provided but, most importantly, this means that c. 3,000 households will not be able to meet their housing needs through this Plan.

The scale of the outstanding affordable housing shortfall is based on the Council’s own estimates of identified need, and supply to meet it. The HEDNA Update recognises that in order to assess the need for affordable housing, it is necessary to consider current unmet housing need and the projected future housing need in the context of the existing affordable housing stock (para 31). However, we raise a number of soundness concerns which, in our view, point to a mis-representation of the total need and thus demonstrates a failure to adequately address the scale of need facing the City. In framing our concerns, we draw on the methodology for calculating affordable housing need set out in the PPG¹.

Current gross unmet need

The HEDNA identifies a current unmet need for 5,704 affordable homes in 2022 (Figure 9). This includes figures on those households in priority need, those who are homeless and in temporary accommodation, those living in over-crowded homes, concealed households, and other households, all living in unsuitable housing. This is based on analysis of those households without a permanent home, households currently occupying a market or an affordable property in Milton Keynes, using secondary data sources.

The HEDNA Update does not clarify whether the 5,704 figures is ‘gross’ or some other measure of need. Nonetheless, we assume it is because the Council has made an adjustment to this figure to account for households who are currently occupying affordable housing. The adjustment amounts to 2,148 households. Taking account of those households results in a **net current need** of 3,556 households at the start of the Plan period (HEDNA para 41 / Figure 12).

The PPG methodology requires Council’s to only establish the unmet **gross** need for affordable housing when preparing their evidence on current unmet need². The PPG does allow for deductions to the gross unmet need, but this is based on an estimate of the current supply of housing stock that can be used to accommodate households in affordable housing need³. However, the HEDNA Update simply removes an element of 5,704 households that are currently in need, but who occupy unsuitable housing (2,148). This assumes that all these properties (the vast majority comprise households in over-crowded homes) will be vacated or will be fit for use by other households in need, but the HEDNA Update does not evidence this.

¹ PPG 2a-018 to 2a-024

² PPG 2a-020

³ PPG 2a-022

The approach is contrary to the PPG methodology highlighted here. The HEDNA Update is provides no justification for the approach taken. It is not a credible or robust.

Accordingly, without sufficient evidence the deduction of 2,148 should added back into the calculation of need. In our view, the current (gross) need is 5,704 households per annum (if the Council's figure are accepted).

Future gross need

The PPG provides advice on how the number of newly arising households likely to be in affordable housing need and those households falling into need must be calculated⁴. The PPG advises that projections of affordable housing need will have to reflect new household formation.

The Council has applied its own method to produce this calculation (Housing Mix Model) which differs from the PPG methodology, and is based on the household projections that have been developed to align with the LHN figure (Scenario A) (HEDNA, para 35). However, the LHN used in the HEDNA (1,799 dpa, shown in HEDNA Figure 2) is a stock-based calculation with an affordability adjustment, and so does not reflect household formation which underpins the household projections published by MHCLG/ONS. The PPG does not include the standard method as a recommended data source for this part of the calculation. It is unclear why the projection of future affordable need in Milton Keynes is linked in any way to the standard method.

Furthermore, the previous version of the HEDNA refers to the use of the 2018-based housing projections, but that the HEDNA Update takes into account more recent data from the Census 2021. Notably, the household projections derived from the Housing Mix Model in the HEDNA Update use household representative rates (HRRs) held constant to the rates in 2018-based ONS household projections (HEDNA, para 9).

However, ONS has now published an updated set of household projections (2022-based) which should be applied in the projection of future need, in accordance with the PPG. The latest set of projections shown that the number of households are projected to increase in Milton Keynes from 114,551 to 131,530 over the next ten years (2022-32)⁵. This is an annual change of 1,697 households per annum. The number of households in 2021 Census was 113,102, which is closely aligned with the latest projections. In comparison, the 2018-based projections show an annual average increase of 717 households per annum over the same period (principal projection)⁶. On this evidence, the HEDNA Update has potentially under-estimated the projected growth in households in Milton Keynes by a significant amount based on the latest official projections. Consequently, we dispute the accuracy of the projected future need for 3,070 households stated in the HEDNA Update (Figure 11).

⁴ PPG 2a-021

⁵ Figure 3: Projected percentage change in number of households for local authorities in England, 2022 to 2032, Oct 2025

⁶ Table 406: Household projections, local authorities and higher administrative areas within England, mid-2001 to mid-2043, 2018-based household projections for local authorities and higher administrative areas within England (principal projection)

In addition, whilst we dispute the veracity of this figure, it is evident the Council has applied a substantial 'discount' to the projected new need; based on 'household dissolutions', 'households moving out of the area', and 'improved circumstances for existing household which have enabled them to afford market housing' (HEDNA, Figure 11). This has reduced the annual future projected new need from 3,070 per annum to just 429 per annum, or a deduction of 2,461 households in need per annum (HEDNA, Figure 12).

In regard to housing dissolutions, the HEDNA justifies the deduction in need (559 per annum) based on a fall in households aged 65 or more (by 2050) (HEDNA, para 28). However, the latest ONS projections show the number of households aged 65 and over is projected to increase from 28,860 to 37,143 between 2022 and 2032⁷. The HEDNA Update contradicts the official projections. Such deductions are not supported by the PPG and are completely unjustified. In regard to households moving out of the area (1,311 households per annum) this equates to out-migration of the population, which should already have been captured in the underlying projections. A further deduction amounts to 'double-counting' and is not justified or consistent with the PPG. We also question the ability of the HEDNA to predict with any degree of accuracy the number of households whose circumstances might improve to enable them to afford suitable market housing, without reference to a specific survey of those households that might fall into this category (731 households per annum). This is spurious, and should also be removed from the calculation.

For these reasons, in our view, the HEDNA Update and the analysis of future affordable need has not followed the PPG methodology and is not robust or credible (HEDNA, para 35). On this basis, we dispute the deduction of 2,461 households from the future new need projection as set out in the HEDNA Update.

Consequently, the annual future projected new affordable need (on the Council's figures) is 3,070 households per annum, and not 429.

Supply

In terms of the assessment of affordable housing supply available to address current and future need, it is not clear how the HEDNA Update aligns with the PPG methodology. It appears the HEDNA has not followed the specific advice in the PPG⁸. The HEDNA does not explain why a different approach to that set out in the PPG has been used as a basis for the supply assessment in Milton Keynes.

For these reasons, in our view, the HEDNA Update and the analysis has significantly underestimated the projected affordable housing need in Milton Keynes. Furthermore, the HEDNA has not followed the PPG methodology but does not explain why it has done so. The 'black box' approach through the use a separate bespoke model in the assessment is also unhelpful and undermines transparency in terms of what assumptions have used and the outputs derived from them. The HEDNA is not robust or credible, as drafted. It is not soundly-based.

⁷ [Household projections for England: detailed data for modelling and analysis - Office for National Statistics](#) (2022-based: Migration category variant projection, 28 Oct 2025)

⁸ PPG 2a-022

In light of the issues set out here, we contend that the provision of around 15,000 affordable homes over the plan period (to 2050) will leave a significant number of households unable to meet their basic need for a suitable and affordable home in Milton Keynes. We contend that additional land should be allocated to help address the shortfall, and which also provides a justification for additional homes on sites within close proximity to the City but which are located in neighbouring areas as a means to help address the shortfall.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Update the HEDNA to reflect the concerns outlined in our representations.

Allocate additional land in the Plan to support the delivery of affordable housing.

Modify Policy GS11 in order to encourage provision of housing in sustainable locations in close proximity to the City but which are located in neighbouring areas, subject to retaining the policy on adoption of the Plan.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Sharing your personal details

Please be aware that, due to the process of having an Independent Examination, a name and means of contact is required for your representation to be considered. Respondent details and representations will be forwarded to the Inspector carrying out the examination of the Local Plan after the Proposed Submission period has ended. This data will be managed by a Programme Officer who acts as the point of contact between the Council and the Inspector and respondents and the Inspector.

For more information on how we use your data – please see our privacy notice by using the following link: <https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/milton-keynes-council/privacy-notice/milton-keynes-city-council-corporate-privacy-notice>

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our website alongside your name. *If you are responding as an individual rather than a company or organisation, we will not publish your contact details (email / postal address and telephone numbers) or signatures online.*

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Milton Keynes City Plan 2050

Please return by **5.30pm on Monday 22nd December 2025** to Development Plans, Milton Keynes City Council, Civic, 1 Saxon Gate East, Milton Keynes MK9 3EJ, or via email at ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable)*

boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

Title

First Name

Last Name

Job Title

(where relevant)

Organisation


(where relevant)

E-mail Address

Address Line 1

Line 2

Line 3



Line 4

Post Code



Telephone Number

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

GS11

Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is: (Please tick as appropriate)

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

No

4.(2) Sound

Yes

No

4.(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Policy GS11 Adjacent and cross-boundary growth

This policy seeks to ensure that development on the edge of Milton Keynes City administrative area is sustainable and accords with key principles that have guided the growth of Milton Keynes. (MK2050, para 89). Notably, the policy will also seek to facilitate Duty to Cooperate discussions and wider strategic objectives such as the delivery of East West Rail (para 90). This intention is clearly stated under criteria A of the policy, to be achieved through '*joint working between local authorities and their partner organisations*' (criteria A.1 and A.2).

In effect, the intended purpose of this policy is to influence the decision-making process as applied to proposals brought forward outside of the Milton Keynes administrative area. This is a clear attempt at introducing a 'back door' policy into neighbouring development plans that have yet to be prepared or progressed as far as the MK2050. This approach goes beyond the

remit of the planning-making process in Milton Keynes because the MK2050 is an individual plan, and not a joint plan or a spatial development strategy, and so has no jurisdiction over the strategies and policies of any its neighbours' plans yet to be adopted.

Similarly, the MK2050 provides no clear evidence to justify why such a policy is even necessary in the first place. Notably, the evidence on infrastructure delivery (IDP) used to support the MK2050 simply focuses on the infrastructure needed to deliver the growth in homes and jobs planned for to the year 2050 in Milton Keynes¹. The IDP does not, however, consider any strategic infrastructure needs or demands emanating from areas outside the Milton Keynes plan area, or how these might impact on infrastructure provision within Milton Keynes. According to the IDP, infrastructure provision is not a cross-boundary issue for the MK2050. Consequently, the policy does not address the strategic priorities of the area, and so is contrary to the Framework (para 21/footnote 13) and is not justified.

We also note the recent Written Ministerial Statement (WMS) issued by Matthew Pennycook, dated 27 November 2025, which clarifies the Government's intentions to abolish the Duty to Cooperate (DtC) requirements from the preparation of plans under the current system. However, the WMS also makes clear the expectation that Planning Inspectors will "*...continue to examine plans in line with the policies in the NPPF on 'maintaining effective co-operation...'*". In this context, whilst the policy seeks to 'facilitate duty to cooperate discussions', there is scant evidence (if any) of any meaningful or constructive engagement on the preparation of this policy. The Council's Duty to Cooperate Statement (DtCS) provides no evidence which demonstrates any meaningful engagement has taken place on its preparation.

In terms of effectiveness, the Framework makes clear that policies should be clearly written and unambiguous so it is evident how the decision-maker should react to proposals (para 16). However, it is unclear how this policy will be implemented in practice. This raises further concerns in regard to neighbouring authorities in situation when they are actually determining planning applications submitted on sites on the edge of city, but which are located wholly within neighbouring areas.

For the reasons set out above, Policy GS11 is not justified on the evidence and is not consistent with national policy. We recommend the policy is deleted from the Plan.

Alternatively, if the Inspectors are minded to recommend the retention of Policy GS11 on adoption of the Plan, then the policy should be modified to make specific reference to the *Strategy for 2050*² as a key evidence base to inform consideration of proposals brought forward on edge-of-city sites located wholly within neighbouring authority areas. This should include specific support, in principle, for proposals that are judged to be broadly consistent the Strategy for 2050. This will ensure the policy is reflective of the evidence and thus soundly-based.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

¹ Milton Keynes Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) – supporting the Regulation 19 consultation version of the MK City Plan 2050

² Milton Keynes Strategy for 2050: Adopted January 2021 (Refreshed January 2025) (Fig 8)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

1. Delete the policy in full.

2. (Alternative) Insert an additional paragraph, as follows (as point 12):

“12. Have regard to the Milton Keynes Strategy for 2050, including the recommended spatial growth options on the edge of the City boundary.”

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Sharing your personal details

Please be aware that, due to the process of having an Independent Examination, a name and means of contact is required for your representation to be considered. Respondent details and representations will be forwarded to the Inspector carrying out the examination of the Local Plan after the Proposed Submission period has ended. This data will be managed by a Programme Officer who acts as the point of contact between the Council and the Inspector and respondents and the Inspector.

For more information on how we use your data – please see our privacy notice by using the following link: <https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/milton-keynes-council/privacy-notice/milton-keynes-city-council-corporate-privacy-notice>

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our website alongside your name. *If you are responding as an individual rather than a company or organisation, we will not publish your contact details (email / postal address and telephone numbers) or signatures online.*