

**Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:**

Milton Keynes City Plan 2050

Please return by **5.30pm on Monday 16<sup>th</sup> February 2026** to Development Plans, Milton Keynes City Council, Civic, 1 Saxon Gate East, Milton Keynes MK9 3EJ, or via email at [ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk](mailto:ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk)

This form has two parts –

**Part A** – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

**Part B** – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

**Part A**

**1. Personal Details\***

**2. Agent's Details (if applicable)**

*\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable)*

*boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

Title	Mr	
First Name	Philip	
Last Name	Ball	
Job Title (where relevant)	Chair	
Organisation (where relevant)	Bow Brickhill Parish Council	
E-mail Address		
Address Line 1		
Line 2		
Line 3		

Line 4

Post Code



Telephone Number

**Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation**

Name or Organisation:

**3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?**

Paragraph

110-111

Policy

GS17

Policies Map

Fig 3, p 65

**4. Do you consider the Local Plan is: (Please tick as appropriate)**

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

X

No

4.(2) Sound

Yes

No

X

4.(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

X

No

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The current polies, GS17 & GS18 in particular in the MK City Plan 2050 are not considered sound in terms of the tests contained in the NPPF. As such the Local plan should not be adopted as it is.

The Council has not given sufficient weight to the evidence contained in the Site assessment matrix of the Sustainability Appraisal and related reports. These highlight the limited transport links already in place and do not take into account potential significant changes such as the closure of the most immediate railway stations at Bow Brickhill and Fenny Stratford. The Landscape reports produced by the City Council have been ignored as the GS17 development and that of GS18 are in or close to a SLA, the Greensands ridge. The prospect of flooding has

been minimized although there is increasing evidence that the South Caldecott employment area is already seeing regular flooding.

Both GS17 and GS18 are relatively small developments that are unlikely to bring the growth developments projected, that might mitigate the landscape loss as well being against the key aims for the NPPF for sustainable development.

The Local plan does not take into account the limited transport links already in place, nor offer the capacity to link to the MRT system proposed by the Council. As such the limited links offered in the p65 map will not reduce the reliance on cars nor encourage other modes of transport and pedestrian use across the wider area. The proximity to the A5 trunk road and A4146 make direct routes for pedestrian and cycle use (e.g. Redways) imperative to enable connectedness, with direct and safe ways to cross these routes.

The Parish Council believes such small scale developments as GS18 & GS17 will not yield the benefits suggested by the Local Plan. These are better suited to the urban development that is the apparent focus of the Local Plan. The resultant increase in emissions, road traffic and infrastructure required to enable these developments make them unjustified.

East West rail developments are some years off being finalised however, the closure of local stations is likely alongside the need to remodel the Brickhill Road, Station Road, and Brickhill Street alignments for a bridge as indicated in Fig 3., Page 65. As a National infrastructure project EWR can press ahead with developments that may yet interfere with available space for GS17 development. It is therefore sensible to delay GS17 and the Local plan details until there is certainty about EWR intentions.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

X

**No**, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

**Yes**, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

**Sharing your personal details**

Please be aware that, due to the process of having an Independent Examination, a name and means of contact is required for your representation to be considered. Respondent details and representations will be forwarded to the Inspector carrying out the examination of the Local Plan after the Proposed Submission period has ended. This data will be managed by a Programme Officer who acts as the point of contact between the Council and the Inspector and respondents and the Inspector.

For more information on how we use your data – please see our privacy notice by using the following link: <https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/milton-keynes-council/privacy-notice/milton-keynes-city-council-corporate-privacy-notice>

**Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our website alongside your name.** *If you are responding as an individual rather than a company or organisation, we will not publish your contact details (email / postal address and telephone numbers) or signatures online.*