

**Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:**

Milton Keynes City Plan 2050

Please return by **5.30pm** on **Monday 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2025** to Development Plans, Milton Keynes City Council, Civic, 1 Saxon Gate East, Milton Keynes MK9 3EJ, or via email at [ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk](mailto:ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk)

This form has two parts –

**Part A** – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

**Part B** – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

**Part A**

**1. Personal Details\***

**2. Agent's Details (if applicable)**

*\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable)*

*boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

Title	Councillor	
First Name	Sam	
Last Name	Crooks	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
E-mail Address		
Address Line 1		
Line 2		
Line 3		

Line 4

Post Code



Telephone Number

**Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation**

Name or Organisation:

**3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?**

Paragraph

Policy

  
  

Policies Map

**4. Do you consider the Local Plan is: (Please tick as appropriate)**

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

No

4.(2) Sound

Yes

No

4.(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

My concern about soundness and the duty to co-operate is very specific and concerns only one area of the Plan – the Eastern Strategic City Extension – and even within that, only issues of movement and access.

Thus I am fully supportive of the Objectives (*but see the reference to # 1 below*), paragraphs 17 and 24, and Policies GS2 A & B., GS3, GS4 and GS9

My reservations lie with Policies GS10, GS11 and GS14

### Policy GS10

Under B the Policy does not explicitly state as a principle that the component settlements within the City Extension must be linked by car as well as by the proposed Metro corridor, walking and cycling. It is essential at a strategic level that the Extension is fully inter-connected.

### Policy GS11

The Eastern side of the Extension borders Central Bedfordshire Council whose Local Plan Process envisages a Regulation 18 consultation in the spring of 2026 followed by Regulation 19 in 2027. It is difficult to see how an effective Statement of Common Ground embodying integrated strategic planning can be achieved between two Authorities whose internal processes are at such different stages of development.

### Policy GS14

Section C of the Policy refers specifically to Moulsoe village which is helpful but not to the Brooklands estate of 2,400 houses which borders the City Extension. Although Objective 1 of the Plan highlights the importance of safe places this is interpreted in Section C as applying only to new developments, whereas any such development adjacent to Brooklands will have a significant impact on that existing estate, and particularly its safety, containing as it does two primary schools, a (shortly to be opened) care home and a very large play area all located along potentially arterial routes.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Under Policy GS10 revised opening phraseology to clause B2 to read as follows:  
To provide **new, safe**, direct and attractive **vehicular**, walking and cycling connections.....

Under Policy GS11 the following amendments:

- In clause A2 the substitution of **must** for <should>
- A similar amendment in clauses A7, A8 and A10

Under Policy GS14

- In section B the substitution of **must** for <should>
- The insertion of a new clause C7 (and subsequent re-numbering) as follows:  
**Appropriate buffers, setbacks, landscaping and traffic restrictions to successfully protect the character, safety, heritage assets and sense of place of existing estates that abut the Strategic City Extension.**
- In clause 14(c) the addition of the words **genuinely appropriate** before <upgrades> in the first line.
- In the same clause the insertion of the words **internal and** between <including> and <cross-boundary> in the last line.

- In clause 14(e) the addition of the words **and existing neighbouring Milton Keynes estates** after <Moulsoe village> in the second line.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

**No**, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes

**Yes**, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To highlight the relevance of my observations. The residents whom I represent believe that their views are never given sufficient weight.

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

### Sharing your personal details

Please be aware that, due to the process of having an Independent Examination, a name and means of contact is required for your representation to be considered. Respondent details and representations will be forwarded to the Inspector carrying out the examination of the Local Plan after the Proposed Submission period has ended. This data will be managed by a Programme Officer who acts as the point of contact between the Council and the Inspector and respondents and the Inspector.

For more information on how we use your data – please see our privacy notice by using the following link: <https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/milton-keynes-council/privacy-notice/milton-keynes-city-council-corporate-privacy-notice>

**Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our website alongside your name.** If you are responding as an individual rather than a company or organisation, we will not publish your contact details (email / postal address and telephone numbers) or signatures online.