

## Jack Pope

---

**From:** sarah lawless [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 14 February 2026 21:52  
**To:** NCP Engagement  
**Subject:** Proposed Submission (Regulation 19) - MK City Plan 2050 - Levante Gate

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

This email came from outside Milton Keynes City Council.  
Take extra care before opening attachments or clicking links.  
Report any suspicious emails via the **REPORT MESSAGE** icon above.

Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: Milton Keynes City Council Proposed Submission (Regulation 19) -  
MK City Plan 2050

Representation on Levante Gate Allocation

I am writing in response to the Regulation 19 consultation on the MK City Plan 2050 Proposed Submission version. I have concerns about the proposed Levante Gate allocation and whether it meets the legal and soundness tests required for a Local Plan.

I would be grateful if the following points could be considered:

Legal Compliance

Can the Council confirm that reasonable alternative sites have been properly assessed and compared as part of the plan preparation process, and that this assessment is clearly documented in the evidence base?

Duty to Cooperate

Given the cross-boundary implications of development in this location, can the Council demonstrate how neighbouring authorities have been engaged in considering strategic growth options and their combined effects?

Soundness

I am concerned that the allocation may not be sound because:

- It is unclear whether the site has been justified against reasonable alternatives with similar environmental and infrastructure considerations
- There appears to be insufficient evidence that the environmental impacts have been minimised or that less sensitive alternatives have been ruled out
- The delivery mechanisms and infrastructure requirements are not sufficiently detailed to demonstrate the allocation is effective and achievable

I would be grateful if these matters could be addressed before the Plan is submitted for examination.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Yours faithfully,

Sarah Lawless